



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Measurement of the $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings

Citation for published version:

Clark, PJ, Farrington, S, Fauci Giannelli, M, Gao, Y, Hasib, A, Leonidopoulos, C, Martin, VJ, Mijovic, L, Wynne, B & Collaboration, A 2018, 'Measurement of the $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings', *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 1812, 010.
[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2018\)010](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)010)

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1007/JHEP12\(2018\)010](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)010)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Journal of High Energy Physics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



RECEIVED: October 12, 2018

REVISED: November 15, 2018

ACCEPTED: November 20, 2018

PUBLISHED: December 3, 2018

Measurement of the $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings



The ATLAS collaboration

E-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: The production of Z bosons in association with a high-energy photon ($Z\gamma$ production) is studied in the neutrino decay channel of the Z boson using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The analysis uses a data sample with an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} collected by the ATLAS detector at the LHC in 2015 and 2016. Candidate $Z\gamma$ events with invisible decays of the Z boson are selected by requiring significant transverse momentum (p_T) of the dineutrino system in conjunction with a single isolated photon with large transverse energy (E_T). The rate of $Z\gamma$ production is measured as a function of photon E_T , dineutrino system p_T and jet multiplicity. Evidence of anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings is sought in $Z\gamma$ production with photon E_T greater than 600 GeV. No excess is observed relative to the Standard Model expectation, and upper limits are set on the strength of $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ couplings.

KEYWORDS: Hadron-Hadron scattering (experiments)

ARXIV EPRINT: [1810.04995](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04995)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	ATLAS detector and data samples	3
2.1	ATLAS detector and experimental data set	3
2.2	Simulation of signal and backgrounds	4
3	Selection of $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ events	4
3.1	Object selection	5
3.2	Signal region definition	6
4	Background estimation	7
5	Integrated and differential cross sections	10
5.1	Description of the cross-section measurements	10
5.2	Systematic uncertainties	11
5.3	Integrated extended fiducial cross section	12
5.4	Standard Model calculations	14
5.5	Differential extended fiducial cross section	15
6	Limits on triple gauge-boson couplings	16
7	Conclusion	20
	The ATLAS collaboration	25

1 Introduction

The production of a Z boson in association with a photon in proton-proton (pp) collisions has been studied at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) since the beginning of its operation in 2010 [1–5]. These studies have been used to test the electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM) and to search for new physics effects, such as potential couplings of Z bosons to photons. Previous publications from experiments at LEP [6–10] and the Tevatron [11–13] have shown no evidence for anomalous properties of neutral gauge bosons at the LHC. The set of data from the second period of the LHC operation provides the opportunity for more accurate measurements of the diboson production rate in pp collisions, and facilitates higher-precision tests of triple gauge-boson couplings (TGCs).

This paper presents a measurement of $Z\gamma$ production with the Z boson decaying into neutrinos. The analysis uses 36.1 fb^{-1} of pp collision data collected with the ATLAS




Figure 1. Feynman diagrams of $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ production: (a) initial-state photon radiation (ISR); (b,c) contributions from the $Z + q(g)$ processes in which a photon emerges from the fragmentation of a quark or a gluon; and (d) an aTGC vertex.

detector¹ at the LHC, operating at a centre-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The measurements are made both with no restriction on the system recoiling against the $Z\gamma$ pair (inclusive events) and by requiring that no jets with $|\eta| < 4.5$ and $p_T > 50$ GeV (exclusive events) are present in addition to the $Z\gamma$ pair.

The $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ final state in the SM can be produced by a Z boson decaying into neutrinos in association with photon emission from initial-state quarks or from quark/gluon fragmentation. These processes are illustrated by the leading-order Feynman diagrams shown in figures 1(a)–(c). An example of an anomalous triple gauge-boson coupling (aTGC) of Z bosons and photons is shown in figure 1(d). Such couplings are forbidden at tree level in the SM but can arise in theories that extend the SM [14, 15].

A study of the $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ process has several advantages over processes with Z decay into hadrons or charged leptons. The channel with hadrons in the final state is contaminated by a large multijet background. A higher Z boson branching ratio into neutrinos relative to that into charged leptons provides an opportunity to study the $Z\gamma$ production in a more energetic (higher E_T^γ) region, where the sensitivity of this process to bosonic couplings is higher [5, 16]. In addition, the neutrino channel is sensitive to anomalous neutrino dipole moments, although a higher integrated luminosity than that available to this study would be required to significantly improve upon LEP results [17, 18].

The measurements of the rate and kinematic properties of the $Z\gamma$ production from this study are compared with SM predictions obtained from two higher-order perturbative

¹ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. The angular distance is measured in units of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$.

parton-level calculations at next-to-leading order (NLO) and next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in the strong coupling constant α_s , as well as with a parton shower Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. The measured $Z\gamma$ production cross section at high values of photon E_T is used to search for aTGCs ($ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$). For these searches an exclusive selection is used, providing higher sensitivity to the anomalous couplings due to further background suppression.

2 ATLAS detector and data samples

2.1 ATLAS detector and experimental data set

The ATLAS detector at the LHC is described in detail in ref. [19]. A short overview is presented here, with an emphasis on the subdetectors needed for a precision measurement of the $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ final state. The ATLAS detector covers nearly the entire solid angle surrounding the collision point. Its major components are an inner tracking detector (ID) surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing a 2 T axial magnetic field, electromagnetic (ECAL) and hadron (HCAL) calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer (MS). The ID is composed of three subsystems. Two detectors cover the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$: the silicon pixel detector and the silicon microstrip tracker (SCT). The outermost system of the ID, with an acceptance of $|\eta| < 2.0$, is composed of a transition radiation tracker (TRT). The TRT provides identification information for electrons by the detection of transition radiation. The MS is composed of three large superconducting air-core toroid magnets, a system of three stations of chambers for tracking measurements, with high precision in the range $|\eta| < 2.7$, and a muon trigger system covering the range $|\eta| < 2.4$.

The ECAL is composed of alternating layers of passive lead absorber interspersed with active liquid-argon gaps. It covers the range of $|\eta| < 3.2$ and plays a crucial role in photon identification. For $|\eta| < 2.5$ the calorimeter has three longitudinal layers in shower depth, with the first layer having the highest granularity in the η coordinate, and the second layer collecting most of the electromagnetic shower energy for high- p_T objects. A thin presampler layer precedes the ECAL over the range $|\eta| < 1.8$, and is used to correct for the energy lost by EM particles upstream of the calorimeter. The HCAL, surrounding the ECAL, is based on two different technologies, with scintillator tiles or liquid-argon as the active medium, and with either steel, copper, or tungsten as the absorber material. Photons are identified as narrow, isolated showers in the ECAL with no penetration into the HCAL. The fine segmentation of the ATLAS calorimeter system allows an efficient separation of jets from isolated prompt photons.

Collision events are selected using a hardware-based first-level trigger and a software-based high-level trigger. The resulting recorded event rate from LHC pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV during the data-taking period in 2015 and 2016 was approximately 1 kHz [20]. After applying criteria to ensure good ATLAS detector operation, the total integrated luminosity useful for data analysis is 36.1 fb^{-1} . The uncertainty in the combined 2015+2016 integrated luminosity is 2.1%. It is derived, following a methodology similar to that detailed in ref. [21], and using the LUCID-2 detector for the baseline luminosity measurements [22], from calibration of the luminosity scale using x - y beam-separation scans.

2.2 Simulation of signal and backgrounds

Simulated signal and background events were produced with various Monte Carlo event generators, processed through a full ATLAS detector simulation [23] using GEANT4 [24], and then reconstructed with the same procedure used for data. Additional pp interactions (pile-up), in the same and neighbouring bunch crossings, were overlaid on the hard-scattering process in the MC simulation. The MC events were then reweighted to reproduce the distribution of the number of interactions per bunch crossing observed in data.

For the signal modeling SHERPA 2.2.2 [25] with the NNPDF3.0 NNLO PDF set [26] is used as the baseline event generator. The signal sample was generated with up to three additional final-state partons at leading order (LO) and up to one additional final-state parton at next-to-leading order (NLO). Alternative signal samples, the first generated using SHERPA 2.1.1 with the CT10 PDF set [27] and the second generated using MG5_AMC@NLO 2.3.3 [28] with the NNPDF3.0 NLO PDF set and interfaced to the PYTHIA 8.212 [29] parton shower model, are considered for studies of systematic uncertainties. Signal samples with non-zero anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings were also generated using SHERPA 2.1.1 with the CT10 PDF set. The values of coupling constants used in the generation are chosen to be equal to the expected limits obtained in a previous ATLAS study [5].

Background events containing Z bosons with associated jets were simulated using SHERPA 2.1.1 with the CT10 PDF set, while background events containing W bosons with associated jets were simulated using SHERPA 2.2.0 with the NNPDF3.0 NNLO PDF set. For both of these processes the matrix elements were calculated for up to two partons at NLO and four partons at LO. Background events containing a photon with associated jets were simulated using SHERPA 2.1.1 with the CT10 PDF set. Matrix elements were calculated with up to four partons at LO. Background events containing a lepton pair and a photon with associated jets were simulated using SHERPA 2.2.2 with the NNPDF3.0 NNLO PDF set. Matrix elements including all diagrams with three electroweak couplings were calculated for up to one parton at NLO and up to three partons at LO.

3 Selection of $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ events

The event selection criteria are chosen to provide precise cross-section measurements of $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ production and good sensitivity to anomalous gauge-boson couplings between photons and Z bosons. The selection is optimized for obtaining a high signal efficiency together with good background rejection.

Events are required to have been recorded with stable beam conditions and with all relevant detector subsystems operational. Event candidates in both data and MC simulation are selected using the lowest- E_T unprescaled single-photon trigger: this requires the presence of at least one cluster of energy deposition in the ECAL with transverse energy E_T larger than 140 GeV, satisfying the *loose* identification criteria described in ref. [30]. The trigger efficiency is greater than 98% for photons selected for this analysis.

3.1 Object selection

Photon candidates are reconstructed [31] from ECAL energy clusters with $|\eta| < 2.37$ and $E_T > 150$ GeV. They are classified either as converted (candidates with a matching reconstructed conversion vertex or a matching track consistent with having originated from a photon conversion) or as unconverted (all other candidates). Both kinds of photon candidates are used in the analysis. Electron candidates are reconstructed [32] from ECAL energy clusters with $|\eta| < 2.47$ that are associated with a reconstructed track in the ID with transverse momentum $p_T > 7$ GeV. The ECAL cluster of the electron/photon candidate must lie outside the transition region between the barrel and endcap ($1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$). Muon candidates are reconstructed from tracks in the MS that have been matched to a corresponding track in the inner detector, and are referred to as “combined muons”. The combined track is required to have $p_T > 7$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.7$.

The shower shapes produced in the ECAL are used to identify photons and electrons. Photons are required to pass all the requirements on shower shape variables which correspond to the *tight* photon identification criteria [30]. The *tight* photon identification efficiency ranges from 88% (96%) to 92% (98%) for unconverted (converted) photons with $p_T > 100$ GeV. A sample of “preselected” photons, used for the calculation of missing transverse momentum, are required to satisfy the less restrictive *loose* identification criteria of ref. [30]. Electron candidates are required to satisfy *loose* [32] electron identification criteria, whose efficiency is greater than 84%. Muon candidates are required to satisfy *tight* identification criteria as described in ref. [33], with efficiency greater than 90% for combined muons used in the selection.

Electron and muon candidates are required to originate from the primary vertex² by demanding that the significance of the transverse impact parameter, defined as the absolute value of the track’s transverse impact parameter, d_0 , measured relative to the beam trajectory, divided by its uncertainty, σ_{d_0} , satisfy $|d_0|/\sigma_{d_0} < 3$ for muons and $|d_0|/\sigma_{d_0} < 5$ for electrons. The difference z_0 between the value of the z coordinate of the point on the track at which d_0 is defined, and the longitudinal position of the primary vertex, is required to satisfy $|z_0 \cdot \sin(\theta)| < 0.5$ mm for both the muons and electrons.

Photon, electron and muon candidates are required to be isolated from other particles. The following criteria are used for photons: the total transverse energy in ECAL energy clusters within $\Delta R = 0.4$ of the photon candidate is required to be less than $2.45 \text{ GeV} + 0.022 \cdot E_T^\gamma$, and the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the tracks located within a distance $\Delta R = 0.2$ of the photon candidate is required to be less than $0.05 \cdot p_T^\gamma$. For preselected photons, isolation criteria are not applied. For muons and electrons, the isolation requirement is based on track information and is tuned to have an efficiency of at least 99% [33].

Jets are reconstructed from topological clusters in the calorimeter [34] using the anti- k_t algorithm [35] with a radius parameter of $R = 0.4$. Events with jets arising from detector noise or other non-collision sources are discarded [36]. A multivariate combination of track-

²Each primary vertex candidate is reconstructed from at least two associated tracks with $p_T > 0.4$ GeV. The primary vertex is selected among the primary vertex candidates as the one with the highest sum of the squared transverse momenta of its associated tracks.

Photons	Leptons	Jets
$E_T > 150 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T > 7 \text{ GeV}$	$p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$
$ \eta < 2.37,$	$ \eta < 2.47(2.7) \text{ for } e(\mu),$	$ \eta < 4.5$
excluding $1.37 < \eta < 1.52$	excluding $1.37 < \eta^e < 1.52$	$\Delta R(\text{jet}, \gamma) > 0.3$
Event selection		
$N^\gamma = 1, \quad N^{e,\mu} = 0, \quad E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV}, \quad E_T^{\text{miss}} \text{ signif. } > 10.5 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}, \quad \Delta\phi(\vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma) > \pi/2$		
Inclusive : $N_{\text{jet}} \geq 0, \quad \text{Exclusive : } N_{\text{jet}} = 0$		

Table 1. Definition of the fiducial region. The object selection is presented in the top part of the table, while the event selection is described in the bottom part.

based variables is used to suppress jets originating from pile-up in the ID acceptance [37]. The energy of each jet is calibrated and corrected for detector effects using a combination of simulated events and in situ methods [38] using data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$. The selected jets are required to have p_T larger than 50 GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$.

The missing transverse momentum is defined as the negative vector sum of the transverse momenta of all reconstructed physics objects in the event [39] (leptons with $p_T > 7 \text{ GeV}$, preselected photons with $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ and jets with $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$), plus a “soft term” incorporating tracks from the primary vertex that are not associated with any such objects [40]. The resulting vector is denoted \vec{E}_T^{miss} since it includes calorimetric energy measurements, and its magnitude E_T^{miss} is used as a measure of the total transverse momentum of neutrinos in the event.

To resolve ambiguities in the object reconstruction, jet candidates lying within $\Delta R = 0.3$ of the photon candidates are removed.

3.2 Signal region definition

The signal region (SR) is defined to have exactly one *tight* isolated photon, as described above. In order to reduce the contamination from events that do not contain high-energy neutrinos (mainly $\gamma + \text{jet}$ background with fake E_T^{miss} from jet momenta mismeasurements) the selected events are required to have $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$. To reduce the number of $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$ and $Z(\ell\ell)\gamma$ events, a lepton veto is applied: events with any selected electrons or muons are discarded. A requirement of at least $10.5 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$ for the E_T^{miss} significance, defined as $E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{\Sigma p_T^{\text{jet}} + E_T^\gamma}$, further suppresses background contributions with fake E_T^{miss} . An additional angular separation requirement $\Delta\phi(\vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma) > \pi/2$ is made, which suppresses the $pp \rightarrow W(e\nu) + X$ background. These object and event selection requirements define the reconstruction-level fiducial region and are summarized in table 1.

To simplify the interpretation of the results and comparison with theory predictions, the cross section is measured in an extended fiducial region, defined at particle level³ in ta-

³“Particle level” quantities are defined in terms of stable particles in the MC event record with a proper decay length $c\tau > 10 \text{ mm}$ which are produced from the hard scattering, including those that are the products of hadronization. The particle-level jets are reconstructed using the anti- k_t algorithm with a radius parameter of $R = 0.4$, using all stable particles except for muons and neutrinos. The particle-level jets in ATLAS do not include muons because jets are built from calorimeter clusters, excluding muons.

Category	Requirement
Photons	$E_T^\gamma > 150 \text{ GeV}$ $ \eta < 2.37$
Jets	$ \eta < 4.5$ $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta R(\text{jet}, \gamma) > 0.3$ Inclusive : $N_{\text{jet}} \geq 0$, Exclusive : $N_{\text{jet}} = 0$
Neutrino	$p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$

Table 2. Definition of the extended fiducial region. At particle level, $p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}$ is the equivalent of E_T^{miss} .

ble 2. Compared with the fiducial region, the extended fiducial region removes requirements on E_T^{miss} significance, $\Delta\phi(\vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma)$, the lepton veto and the transition η region for photons. In the signal event selection at particle level, the E_T^{miss} significance and $\Delta\phi(\vec{E}_T^{\text{miss}}, \gamma)$ are given by $p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}} / \sqrt{\Sigma p_T^{\text{jet}} + E_T^\gamma}$ and $\Delta\phi(\vec{p}_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}, \gamma)$, respectively. Photon isolation at the particle level is performed using the same requirements and cone sizes as described for the reconstruction-level isolation in section 3.1.

4 Background estimation

Backgrounds to the $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ signal originate from several sources. The dominant sources (listed in decreasing order of importance) are estimated with data-driven techniques: electroweak processes such as $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$, where the lepton is not detected; events with prompt photons and mismeasured jet momenta that gives rise to missing transverse momentum; events with real E_T^{miss} from neutrinos (such as $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})$ or $W(e\nu)$) and misidentified photons from either electrons or jets. The procedures used to estimate these backgrounds closely follow those of the previous ATLAS measurement [5]. A less important source is $\ell\ell\gamma$ (mainly $\tau\tau\gamma$) production, which is estimated from MC simulation and is expected to contribute roughly 1% of the selected event yield. In the following, each source of background is discussed in detail together with the method used for its estimation.

Misidentified events from $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$ production are one of the dominant background contributions. A large fraction (about 60%) of this contamination arises from $W(\tau\nu)\gamma$ events. Photon+jets events form another sizeable background contribution to the signal region. For the estimation of these backgrounds, two control regions (CRs) are defined by selecting events with the same criteria used for the SR but requiring either exactly one charged lepton (e or μ) in the event, or requiring the E_T^{miss} significance to be less than $10.5 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$. The first CR is enriched with $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$ events (about 77%) while the second CR is enriched with γ +jets events (about 55%). The use of the 1-lepton (e or μ) control region for the estimation of the $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$ background to the signal region, where ℓ can be any of e , μ or τ , relies on the assumption of lepton flavour universality. A simultaneous fit to the background-enriched CRs is performed to allow the CR data to constrain the yield of these main backgrounds, initially estimated with MC simulation, by establishing the

normalization factors for the $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$ and γ +jets background contribution as described in refs. [5, 41]. The same background normalization factors are assumed in the CR and SR and the fit uncertainties on these factors accounts for the uncertainty from this assumption. The normalization factor for the $W\gamma$ background is found to be close to one, while the normalization factor for the γ +jets background is 1.7 ± 0.5 , since the pre-fit expectation is computed at LO, for which higher-order corrections would be expected to be considerable. The pre-fit kinematic distributions of these backgrounds are taken from the MC simulation. The variations of the background yield in each bin due to each of the experimental and MC modelling uncertainties reported in section 5.1 are treated as Gaussian-distributed nuisance parameters in the likelihood function fit used to obtain the final background predictions in the SR. The dominant systematic uncertainties in the $W(\ell\nu)\gamma$ process come from MC modelling (mostly due to the QCD scale uncertainty) and from the uncertainty in the electron-photon energy scale. Their contributions are 5.8% and 3.8%, respectively. The systematic uncertainty for γ +jets events is also dominated by the QCD scale component, and amounts to approximately 19%.

Misidentification of electrons as photons also contributes to the background yield in the signal region. The main source of this background is the inclusive $W(e\nu)$ process, but contributions also arise from the single top-quark and $t\bar{t}$ production processes. The estimation of the size of these background contributions is done in two steps. The first is the determination of the probability for an electron to be misidentified as a photon using $Z(e^+e^-)$ decays reconstructed as $e + \gamma$, as described in refs. [5, 41]. The probability of observing an $e + \gamma$ pair with invariant mass near the Z boson mass is used to determine an electron-to-photon fake factor $f_{e \rightarrow \gamma}$. The fake factor is found to vary between 0.6% to 2.7%, depending on the photon's η and p_T . The second step is the construction of a control region by applying the nominal $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ selection criteria described in section 3, with the exception that an electron is required instead of the final-state photon, leading to a control region dominated by the $W(e\nu)$ +jets process. The estimated background is then given by the number of events in the chosen control sample scaled by the electron-to-photon fake factor. The statistical uncertainty is determined by the size of the control sample and does not exceed 5%. The systematic uncertainty for this background varies from 13% to 25%, depending on the photon p_T and η , and is dominated by the difference between the fake rates obtained from $Z(ee)$ and $W(e\nu)$ MC events. This source of systematic uncertainty on the fake factor is estimated from MC simulation in order to avoid double counting the uncertainty associated with the estimation of backgrounds under the Z boson mass peak in collision data. The total relative systematic uncertainty of this background estimate is less than 15%, since the main contribution comes from the most populated central pseudorapidity region and has $p_T < 250$ GeV, where the systematics on the fake factor is the smallest.

To estimate the contribution from background due to the misidentification of jets as photons, a two-dimensional sideband method is used, as described in ref. [5]. In this method the $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ events are separated into one signal and three control regions. Events in the signal region require the photon to satisfy the nominal photon isolation and *tight* identification requirements, as described in section 3. The photon isolation and identification

	$N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$	$N_{\text{jets}} = 0$
$N^{W\gamma}$	$650 \pm 40 \pm 60$	$360 \pm 20 \pm 30$
$N^{\gamma+\text{jet}}$	$409 \pm 18 \pm 108$	$219 \pm 10 \pm 58$
$N^{e \rightarrow \gamma}$	$320 \pm 15 \pm 45$	$254 \pm 12 \pm 35$
$N^{\text{jet} \rightarrow \gamma}$	$170 \pm 30 \pm 50$	$140 \pm 20 \pm 40$
$N^{Z(\ell\ell)\gamma}$	$40 \pm 3 \pm 3$	$26 \pm 3 \pm 2$
$N_{\text{total}}^{\text{bkg}}$	$1580 \pm 50 \pm 140$	$1000 \pm 40 \pm 90$
$N^{\text{sig}}(\text{exp})$	$2328 \pm 4 \pm 135$	$1710 \pm 4 \pm 91$
$N_{\text{total}}^{\text{sig+bkg}}$	$3910 \pm 50 \pm 190$	$2710 \pm 40 \pm 130$
$N^{\text{data}}(\text{obs})$	3812	2599

Table 3. Summary of observed and expected yields (all backgrounds and signal) for events passing the selection requirements in data for the inclusive ($N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$) and exclusive ($N_{\text{jets}} = 0$) selections. The $W\gamma$ and $\gamma+\text{jet}$ backgrounds are scaled by the normalization factor from the fit, luminosity and cross section. The $e \rightarrow \gamma$ and $\text{jet} \rightarrow \gamma$ backgrounds are estimated using data-driven techniques. The row labelled “ $N^{\text{sig}}(\text{exp})$ ” corresponds to the SHERPA NLO prediction. The row labelled “ $N_{\text{total}}^{\text{sig+bkg}}$ ” corresponds to the sum of the expected background contributions and expected signal. The first uncertainty is statistical, while the second is systematic.

criteria are modified in order to build the control regions, which are disjoint from each other and from the signal region. The modified photon identification criteria requires photons to pass a “non-*tight*” identification but fail the *tight* identification. The non-*tight* selection criteria remove requirements on four out of the nine shower shape variables required for *tight* photons; the variables that are removed from the list of requirements are those that are least correlated with calorimeter isolation [42]. Two of the control regions are defined by modifying either the photon isolation or photon identification requirement, while for the third control region both the isolation and identification requirements are modified. The number of background events in the signal region can be derived from the number of observed events in the control regions according to the methodology described in ref. [5]. The statistical uncertainty of the background is established by the event yields in the four regions, while the systematic uncertainty is 29% and is dominated by the size of changes to the background estimate arising from the variation of the control regions’ definitions, which leads to changes exceeding the expected size of the statistical fluctuations. This systematic uncertainty also covers possible effects due to the correlation between the isolation and identification criteria.

The resulting signal and background composition is shown in table 3. Kinematic distributions of the photon transverse energy, missing transverse momentum, and jet multiplicity in the fiducial region for the inclusive selection ($N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$) are shown in figure 2. Kinematic distributions of the photon transverse energy and the missing transverse momentum in the fiducial region for the exclusive selection ($N_{\text{jets}} = 0$) are shown in figure 3.

Good agreement between data and the SM expectation is observed in the shapes of most of the measured distributions. The discrepancy in the last bin of the inclusive E_{T}^{γ} distribution, which is not used to set aTGC limits, was found to be consistent with having




Figure 2. Top left: photon E_T distribution; top right: missing transverse momentum distribution; bottom: jet multiplicity distribution, in the inclusive ($N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$) signal region. MC expectations are scaled to the integrated luminosity of the data using the expected MC cross section of each sample. The $W\gamma$ and γ +jet backgrounds are scaled by an additional normalization factor from the fit to data in the corresponding control regions. Backgrounds arising from electron or jet misidentification as a photon are estimated with the data-driven techniques described in the text. The dashed band represents the sum in quadrature of systematic and statistical uncertainties of both the background and signal expectation, and includes a contribution arising from the uncertainty in the integrated luminosity of the data sample.

arisen from a statistical fluctuation of the data. The uncertainties shown in the figures are treated as being uncorrelated among different systematic sources and different backgrounds.

5 Integrated and differential cross sections

5.1 Description of the cross-section measurements

The number of signal events is determined by subtracting the estimated backgrounds from the number of observed events. The signal yield is then corrected for detection efficiencies in the fiducial region, defined in table 1. The integrated cross section in the extended




Figure 3. Left: photon E_T distribution; right: missing transverse momentum distribution, in the exclusive ($N_{\text{jets}} = 0$) signal region. MC expectations are scaled to the integrated luminosity of the data using the expected MC cross section of each sample. The $W\gamma$ and γ +jet backgrounds are scaled by an additional normalization factor from the fit to data in the corresponding control regions. Backgrounds arising from electron or jet misidentification as a photon are estimated with the data-driven techniques described in the text. The dashed band represents the sum in quadrature of systematic and statistical uncertainties of both the background and signal expectation, and includes a contribution arising from the uncertainty in the integrated luminosity of the data sample.

fiducial region, defined in table 2, is calculated as

$$\sigma_{\text{ext-fid}} = \frac{N - B}{A_{Z\gamma} \cdot C_{Z\gamma} \cdot \int L dt},$$

where N is the number of observed candidate events, B is the expected number of background events and $\int L dt$ is the integrated luminosity corresponding to the analyzed data set. The factors $C_{Z\gamma}$ and $A_{Z\gamma}$ correct for detection efficiency and acceptance, respectively:

- $C_{Z\gamma}$ is defined as the number of reconstructed signal events satisfying all selection criteria divided by the number of events that, at particle level, meet the acceptance criteria of the fiducial region;
- $A_{Z\gamma}$ is defined as the number of signal events within the fiducial region divided by the number of signal events within the extended fiducial region, with both numerator and denominator defined at particle level.

The corrections $A_{Z\gamma}$ and $C_{Z\gamma}$ are determined using the $Z\gamma$ signal events generated by SHERPA and are summarized in table 4 along with their uncertainties.

5.2 Systematic uncertainties

Systematic uncertainties in the acceptances $A_{Z\gamma}$ are evaluated by varying the PDF sets, the value of α_S , the renormalization and factorization scales (QCD scale uncertainty), and the Monte Carlo parameter tunes for the parton shower (PS) and multi-parton interactions

	$N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$	$N_{\text{jets}} = 0$
$A_{Z\gamma}$	0.816 ± 0.029	0.952 ± 0.026
$C_{Z\gamma}$	0.904 ± 0.031	0.889 ± 0.037

Table 4. Summary of values of the correction factors ($C_{Z\gamma}$) and acceptances ($A_{Z\gamma}$) for the $Z\gamma$ cross-section measurements. The uncertainty presented here includes only systematic components, since the statistical uncertainty is found to be negligible.

(MPI). In total, 100 error sets are checked for the NNPDF3.0 NNLO PDF variation, leading to a relative uncertainty of 0.76% for the inclusive case and 0.35% for the exclusive case. These numbers fully cover variations arising from the use of alternative PDF sets such as CT14 [43] and MMHT2014 [44]. The uncertainty from α_s is estimated by varying it within the range of its world-average value as provided in ref. [45] and is found to be negligible. The effects of the renormalization and factorization scale uncertainties are assessed by varying these two scales independently by a factor of two from their nominal values, removing combinations where the two variations differ by a factor of four, and taking the envelope of the resulting cross-section variations as the size of the associated systematic uncertainty. Uncertainties from the PS and MPI are evaluated using a series of eigentunes for the PYTHIA generator with its A14 parameter tune [46]. The size of the uncertainty from the renormalization and factorization scales does not exceed 3.0%, while PS and MPI uncertainties cause variations from 1.9% to 2.7% for the inclusive and exclusive cases, respectively. The total uncertainties in the acceptance factors are summarized in table 4.

Systematic uncertainties affecting the correction factor $C_{Z\gamma}$ include contributions arising from uncertainties in the efficiencies of the trigger, reconstruction and particle identification, as well as the uncertainties in the energy, momentum scales and resolutions of the final-state objects. Additional systematic uncertainty sources arise from the modelling of particle spectra and pile-up events. Spectrum modelling uncertainties are estimated by varying the PDF set and QCD scales as described above for the case of the acceptance factor $A_{Z\gamma}$. Some of these contributions are found to have a non-linear dependence on photon transverse energy, E_T^{miss} or jet multiplicity. In these cases, uncertainties estimated as a function of these observables are used in the unfolding process of section 5.5 when the corresponding kinematic distributions are derived from the signal sample. Table 5 displays the size of the individual contributions to the uncertainties in the $C_{Z\gamma}$ factor; the total uncertainty is summarized in table 4.

5.3 Integrated extended fiducial cross section

The measurements of the cross sections, along with their uncertainties, are based on the maximization of the profile-likelihood ratio

$$\Lambda(\sigma) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\sigma, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\sigma))}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})},$$

Source	Relative uncertainty [%]	
	$N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$	$N_{\text{jets}} = 0$
Trigger efficiency	0.79	0.79
Photon identification efficiency	1.5	1.5
Photon isolation efficiency	0.48	0.47
Electron-photon energy scale	2.5	2.5
Electron-photon energy resolution	0.11	0.09
Jet energy scale	0.92	2.2
Jet energy resolution	0.10	0.43
$E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ scale	<0.1	<0.1
$E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ resolution	0.13	<0.1
Pile-up simulation	0.85	1.1
Spectrum modelling	1.3	1.3
Sum	3.5	4.2

Table 5. Relative systematic uncertainties in the signal correction factor $C_{Z\gamma}$ for the inclusive and exclusive $Z\gamma$ measurements.

where \mathcal{L} represents the likelihood function, σ is the cross section, and θ are the nuisance parameters corresponding to the sources of systematic uncertainty. The $\hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\theta}$ terms denote the unconditional maximum-likelihood estimate of the parameters, i.e., the parameters for which the likelihood is maximized for both σ and θ . The term $\hat{\hat{\theta}}(\sigma)$ denotes the value of θ that maximizes \mathcal{L} for a given value of σ .

The likelihood function is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma, \theta) = \text{Poisson}(N \mid S(\sigma, \theta) + B(\theta)) \cdot \text{Gaussian}(\theta_0 \mid \theta),$$

representing the product of the Poisson probability of observing N events, given expectations of S for the signal and B for the background, multiplied by the Gaussian constraints θ on the systematic uncertainties, with central values θ_0 from auxiliary measurements, as described in section 5.1.

The measured cross sections for $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ production in the extended fiducial region are summarized in table 6, along with the theoretical predictions of the MCFM [47] generator described in section 5.4. The measured cross sections agree with the SM expectations to within one standard deviation. Systematic uncertainties arise from uncertainties in the acceptances and correction factors, as well as from uncertainties in the background estimates. These two sources contribute roughly equally to the uncertainty in the measured cross sections. Compared with the $Z\gamma$ measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [5], the systematic uncertainty is significantly reduced. This improvement is due primarily to the reduction of systematic uncertainty allowed by the data-driven estimate of the γ +jets and $W\gamma$ backgrounds.

An overall check of the SM predictions is done with the MATRIX generator [48]. Cross sections obtained by MATRIX (inclusive case: $\sigma^{\text{ext.fid.}} = 78.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 4.4$ fb; exclusive case: $\sigma^{\text{ext.fid.}} = 55.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 3.6$ fb, where the uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively) are found to be consistent with those from MCFM to within their statistical uncertainty.

$\sigma^{\text{ext.fid.}}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\text{ext.fid.}}$ [fb]
Measurement	NNLO MCFM Prediction
$N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$	
$83.7^{+3.6}_{-3.5}$ (stat.) $^{+6.9}_{-6.2}$ (syst.) $^{+1.7}_{-2.0}$ (lumi.)	78.1 ± 0.2 (stat.) ± 4.7 (syst.)
$N_{\text{jets}} = 0$	
$52.4^{+2.4}_{-2.3}$ (stat.) $^{+4.0}_{-3.6}$ (syst.) $^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ (lumi.)	55.9 ± 0.1 (stat.) ± 3.9 (syst.)

Table 6. Measured cross sections for $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ production within the extended fiducial region for a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, with corresponding SM expectations obtained from the MCFM [47] generator at next-to-next-to-leading order in the strong coupling constant α_s .

5.4 Standard Model calculations

The resulting measurement of the rate and kinematic distributions of $Z\gamma$ production is compared with SM expectations using the parton shower Monte Carlo generator SHERPA and the NNLO parton-level generators MCFM and MATRIX. The NNPDF3.0 PDF set was used for the SHERPA, MCFM and MATRIX generation. The values of the renormalization and factorization scales were set to $m_{Z\gamma}$ for the MCFM and MATRIX NNLO generation of the $Z\gamma$ process.

The photon isolation criterion at the parton level is applied by considering a cone of variable opening angle ΔR (with maximum opening angle $\Delta R_{\text{max}} = 0.1$) centred around the photon direction, and requiring that the transverse energy flow inside that cone be always less than a given fraction of the photon p_T ; this fraction is set to 0.1 when $\Delta R = \Delta R_{\text{max}}$, and tends smoothly to zero when $\Delta R \rightarrow 0$, as described in ref. [49]. Due to this procedure, the contribution from photon fragmentation to the NNLO calculations of the MCFM and MATRIX SM predictions is zero.

Events generated with SHERPA, as described in section 2.2, are also compared with the particle-level measurements. For the NNLO parton-level predictions, parton-to-particle correction factors $C^{*(\text{parton} \rightarrow \text{particle})}$ must be applied in order to obtain the particle-level cross sections. These correction factors are computed as the ratios of the $pp \rightarrow Z\gamma$ cross sections predicted by SHERPA with hadronization and the underlying event disabled to the cross sections with them enabled. The systematic uncertainty in the correction factors is evaluated by using a signal sample from an alternative generator (MG5_AMC@NLO), taking the resulting change in $C^{*(\text{parton} \rightarrow \text{particle})}$ as the one-sided size of a symmetrized value for the uncertainty. This accounts for uncertainties in both the parton shower modelling and the description of the underlying event. The value of $C^{*(\text{parton} \rightarrow \text{particle})}$ is found to be 0.87 ± 0.04 for the inclusive predictions and 0.97 ± 0.04 for the exclusive predictions. For the exclusive case, the parton-to-particle correction includes an additional contribution from the jet veto, which compensates for the difference in the photon isolation between the parton and particle levels. The particle-level cross sections are then obtained by multiplying the NNLO parton-level cross-section values by the $C^{*(\text{parton} \rightarrow \text{particle})}$ correction factors, and are displayed in table 6.

The systematic uncertainty in the expected NNLO SM cross sections arising from uncertainties in the QCD scale is estimated by varying the QCD scales by factors of 0.5 and 2.0 (separately for the renormalization and factorization scales, removing combinations where the two variations differ by a factor of four). The effect of the QCD scale uncertainty on the prediction for the first bin of the various differential cross-section measurements also accounts for uncertainties arising from the incomplete cancellation of divergences associated with soft gluon emission in fixed-order perturbative calculations of $Z\gamma$ production. This effect is appreciable because of the symmetric E_T^γ and $p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}$ thresholds used in defining the SR. The corresponding corrections are estimated conservatively from the cited MC generators by evaluating the degree of compensation of the divergence that arises when the $p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}(E_T^\gamma)$ requirement is lowered to a value significantly below the value of the $E_T^\gamma(p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}})$ requirement of 150 GeV. The systematic uncertainty due to the PDF choice is computed using the eigenvectors of the NNPDF 3.0 PDF set [26] and the envelope of the differences between the results obtained with the CT14 [43] and MMHT2014 [44] PDF sets, according to the PDF4LHC recommendations [50]. MATRIX predictions do not include the systematic uncertainty due to the PDF choice.

5.5 Differential extended fiducial cross section

The measurement of the $Z\gamma$ production differential cross sections allows a comparison of experimental results with SM expectations for both the absolute rates and the shapes of kinematic distributions. The measurements are performed as a function of several observables that are sensitive to higher-order perturbative QCD corrections [51] and to a possible manifestation of aTGCs [52]: photon transverse energy (E_T^γ), the transverse momentum of the neutrino-antineutrino pair ($p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}$), and jet multiplicity (N_{jets}). The differential cross sections are defined in the extended fiducial region, and are extracted with an unfolding procedure that corrects for measurement inefficiencies and resolution effects that modify the observed distributions. The procedure described in ref. [5] is followed, using an iterative Bayesian method [53]. For each distribution, events from simulated signal MC samples are used to generate a response matrix that accounts for bin-to-bin migration between the reconstruction-level and particle-level distributions.

The statistical uncertainties of the unfolded distributions are estimated using pseudo-experiments, generated by fluctuating each bin of the observed spectrum according to a Poisson distribution with a mean value equal to the observed yield. The shape uncertainties arising from the limited size of the signal MC sample are also obtained by generating pseudo-experiments. The sources of systematic uncertainty are discussed in section 5.1, with their impact on the unfolded distribution assessed by varying the response matrix for each of the systematic uncertainty sources by one standard deviation and combining the resulting differences from the nominal values in quadrature.

The differential cross sections as a function of E_T^γ and $p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}$ are shown in figures 4 and 5, respectively, for both the inclusive and exclusive measurements. Figure 6 shows the cross section measured in bins of jet multiplicity. The values of the SM expectations shown in the figures are obtained as described in section 5.4.




Figure 4. The measured (points with error bars) and predicted differential cross sections as a function of E_T^γ for the $pp \rightarrow Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ process in the inclusive $N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$ (left) and exclusive $N_{\text{jets}} = 0$ (right) extended fiducial regions. The error bars on the data points show the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The MCFM NNLO predictions are shown with shaded bands that indicate the theoretical uncertainties described in section 5.4. For the SHERPA predictions, systematic uncertainty is not considered, and the statistical uncertainties arising from the size of the MC samples are too small to be visible. The lower plots show the ratios of the SM expectation to the measured values (shaded bands), with the error bars on the points showing the relative uncertainties in the experimental measurements. The bin size varies from 50 GeV to 500 GeV.

Good agreement with SM expectations is observed in all but the last bin of the E_T^γ inclusive distribution. This disagreement is a consequence of the corresponding disagreement observed in figure 2, which was investigated and found to be consistent with having arisen from a statistical fluctuation of the data.

6 Limits on triple gauge-boson couplings

Vector-boson couplings are completely fixed within the Standard Model by the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge structure. Their measurement is thus a crucial test of the model. Any deviation from the SM prediction is referred to as an anomalous coupling.

Within the framework of the effective vertex function approach [52], anomalous triple gauge-boson coupling contributions to $Z\gamma$ production can be parameterized by four CP-violating (h_1^V , h_2^V) and four CP-conserving (h_3^V , h_4^V) complex parameters. Here the V indices are Z and γ , and h_i^Z and h_i^γ are the parameters of $ZZ\gamma$ and the $Z\gamma\gamma$ vertices, respectively. The $h_3^V(h_1^V)$ and $h_4^V(h_2^V)$ parameters correspond to the electric (magnetic) dipole and magnetic (electric) quadrupole transition moments of V , respectively [54].

All of these parameters are zero at tree level in the SM. Since the CP-conserving couplings $h_{3,4}^V$ do not interfere with the CP-violating couplings $h_{1,2}^V$, and their sensitivities to aTGCs are nearly identical [52], the limits from this study are expressed solely in terms of the CP-conserving parameters $h_{3,4}^V$.




Figure 5. The measured (points with error bars) and predicted differential cross sections as a function of $p_T^{\nu\bar{\nu}}$ for the $pp \rightarrow Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ process in the inclusive $N_{\text{jets}} \geq 0$ (left) and exclusive $N_{\text{jets}} = 0$ (right) extended fiducial regions. The error bars on the data points show the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The MCFM NNLO predictions are shown with shaded bands that indicate the theoretical uncertainties described in section 5.4. For the SHERPA predictions, systematic uncertainty is not considered, and the statistical uncertainties arising from the size of the MC samples are too small to be visible. The lower plots show the ratios of the SM expectation to the measured values (shaded bands), with the error bars on the points showing the relative uncertainties in the experimental measurements. The bin size varies from 50 GeV to 500 GeV.




Figure 6. The measured (points with error bars) and predicted cross sections as a function of N_{jets} for the $pp \rightarrow Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ process in the extended fiducial region. The error bars on the data points show the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The MCFM NNLO predictions are shown with shaded bands that indicate the theoretical uncertainties described in section 5.4. For the SHERPA predictions, systematic uncertainty is not considered, and the statistical uncertainties arising from the size of the MC samples are too small to be visible. The lower plots show the ratios of the SM expectation to the measured values (shaded bands), with the error bars on the points showing the relative uncertainties in the experimental measurements.

$h_3^Z \backslash h_4^Z$	$-5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0	$5 \cdot 10^{-7}$
$-5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.439	0.696	1.42
0	0.477	0.243	0.483
$5 \cdot 10^{-4}$	1.40	0.674	0.424

Table 7. Cross sections [fb] for the exclusive $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})\gamma$ process, requiring a photon with $E_T^\gamma > 600$ GeV, for different values of h_3^Z (vertical), and h_4^Z (horizontal). For the Standard Model with no anomalous triple gauge-boson couplings, $h_3^Z = h_4^Z = 0$.

The yields of $Z\gamma$ events with high E_T^γ from the exclusive (zero-jet) selection are used to set limits on $h_{3,4}^V$. The exclusive selection is used because it significantly reduces the SM contribution at high E_T^γ and therefore optimizes the sensitivity to anomalous couplings. The contribution from aTGCs increases with the E_T of the photon, and the measurement of $Z\gamma$ production is found to have the highest sensitivity to aTGCs by restricting the search to the portion of the extended fiducial region with E_T^γ greater than 600 GeV.

Cross-section values modified by the inclusion of aTGCs ($\sigma_{Z\gamma}^{\text{aTGC}}$) are obtained from the MCFM generator. These values are displayed in table 7 for several combinations of choices of the $ZZ\gamma$ vertex parameters h_3^Z and h_4^Z .

The expected number of $Z\gamma$ events in the aTGC region ($N_{Z\gamma}^{\text{aTGC}}(h_3^V, h_4^V)$, where $V = Z$ or γ) is obtained using

$$N_{Z\gamma}^{\text{aTGC}}(h_3^V, h_4^V) = \sigma_{Z\gamma}^{\text{aTGC}}(h_3^V, h_4^V) \cdot C_{Z\gamma} \cdot A_{Z\gamma} \cdot C^{*(\text{parton} \rightarrow \text{particle})} \cdot \int L \, dt. \quad (6.1)$$

The anomalous couplings influence the kinematic properties of the $Z\gamma$ events and thus the efficiency factor of the event reconstruction ($C_{Z\gamma}$). The maximum variation of $C_{Z\gamma}$ due to non-zero aTGC parameters within the aTGC limits measured in this paper (about 7%) is adopted as an additional systematic uncertainty. The effect of anomalous couplings on the acceptance factor ($A_{Z\gamma}$) and parton-to-particle factor ($C^{*(\text{parton} \rightarrow \text{particle})}$) is an order of magnitude smaller than that on $C_{Z\gamma}$, and so is neglected.

Limits on a given aTGC parameters are extracted from a frequentist profile-likelihood test similar to that of section 5.3. The profile likelihood depends on the observed number of exclusive $Z\gamma$ candidate events, the amount of expected signal as a function of aTGC given by eq. (6.1), and the estimated number of background events. A point in the aTGC space is accepted (rejected) at the 95% confidence level (CL) if fewer (more) than 95% of randomly generated pseudo-experiments exhibit larger profile-likelihood ratio values than that observed in data. In this context, a pseudo-experiment is a set of randomly generated numbers of events that follow a Poisson distribution with mean equal to the sum of the number of expected signal events and the estimated number of background events. Systematic uncertainties are incorporated into the pseudo-experiments via a set of nuisance parameters with correlated Gaussian constraints. All nuisance parameters are allowed to fluctuate in the pseudo-experiments.

No evidence of anomalous couplings is observed. The allowed 95% CL ranges for the anomalous couplings are shown in table 8 for $ZZ\gamma$ (h_3^Z and h_4^Z) and the $Z\gamma\gamma$ (h_3^γ and

Parameter	Limit 95% CL	
	Measured	Expected
h_3^γ	$(-3.7 \times 10^{-4}, 3.7 \times 10^{-4})$	$(-4.2 \times 10^{-4}, 4.3 \times 10^{-4})$
h_3^Z	$(-3.2 \times 10^{-4}, 3.3 \times 10^{-4})$	$(-3.8 \times 10^{-4}, 3.8 \times 10^{-4})$
h_4^γ	$(-4.4 \times 10^{-7}, 4.3 \times 10^{-7})$	$(-5.1 \times 10^{-7}, 5.0 \times 10^{-7})$
h_4^Z	$(-4.5 \times 10^{-7}, 4.4 \times 10^{-7})$	$(-5.3 \times 10^{-7}, 5.1 \times 10^{-7})$

Table 8. Observed and expected one-dimensional 95% CL limits on h_3^γ , h_3^Z , h_4^γ and h_4^Z , assuming that any observed excess in data relative to the associated SM estimate is due solely to h_3^V or h_4^V . For each row, all parameters other than the one under study are set to 0.




Figure 7. Observed (solid) and expected (dashed) ellipses of 95% CL on the linear combinations of the pairs of anomalous couplings h_3^γ and h_4^γ (left) and h_3^Z and h_4^Z (right). The horizontal and vertical lines inside each contour correspond to the limits found in the one-parameter fit procedure, while the orientation of the ellipses indicates the correlations between the parameters in the two-dimensional fit. In each case, the two parameters not being displayed are set to 0.

h_4^γ) vertices. Limits on anomalous couplings imposed by this analysis are 3–7 times more stringent than those from prior studies [5].

Limits on possible combinations of each pair of aTGC parameters are also evaluated. The ellipses of 95% CL on linear combination of the pairs of anomalous couplings are shown on the (h_3^γ, h_4^γ) and (h_3^Z, h_4^Z) planes in figure 7, which are the only such pairs that are expected to interfere [52].

Allowed ranges are also determined for parameters of the effective field theory (EFT) of ref. [55], which includes four dimension-8 operators describing aTGC interactions of neutral gauge bosons. The coefficients of these operators are denoted $C_{\tilde{B}W}/\Lambda^4$, C_{BW}/Λ^4 , C_{WW}/Λ^4 and C_{BB}/Λ^4 , as described in ref. [56]. The parameter Λ has the dimension of mass and is associated with the energy scale of the new physics described by the EFT. The 95% CL limits on these EFT parameters displayed in table 9 are derived from the limits of table 8 making use of a linear transformation relating the EFT and vertex function aTGC parameters, obtained from ref. [56].

Parameter	Limit 95% CL	
	Measured [TeV ⁻⁴]	Expected [TeV ⁻⁴]
$C_{\tilde{B}W}/\Lambda^4$	(−1.1, 1.1)	(−1.3, 1.3)
C_{BW}/Λ^4	(−0.65, 0.64)	(−0.74, 0.74)
C_{WW}/Λ^4	(−2.3, 2.3)	(−2.7, 2.7)
C_{BB}/Λ^4	(−0.24, 0.24)	(−0.28, 0.27)

Table 9. Observed and expected one-dimensional 95% CL limits on the $C_{\tilde{B}W}/\Lambda^4$, C_{BW}/Λ^4 , C_{WW}/Λ^4 and C_{BB}/Λ^4 EFT parameters, assuming that any excess in data over the SM expectation is due solely to a non-zero value of the parameter $C_{\tilde{B}W}/\Lambda^4$, C_{BW}/Λ^4 , C_{WW}/Λ^4 or C_{BB}/Λ^4 . For each row, all parameters other than the one under study are set to 0.

7 Conclusion

The cross section for the production of a Z boson in association with an isolated high-energy photon is measured using 36.1 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The analysis uses the invisible decay mode $Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$ of the Z boson, and is performed in a fiducial phase space closely matching the detector acceptance.

Kinematic distributions are presented in terms of differential cross sections as a function of the transverse energy of the photon, the missing transverse momentum, and the jet multiplicity. Measurements are made for both the inclusive case, with no requirements on the system recoiling against the $Z\gamma$ pair, and the exclusive case in which no jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV are allowed within $|\eta| < 4.5$.

The results are compared with SM expectations derived from a parton shower Monte Carlo generator (SHERPA) and from parton-level perturbative calculations carried out at NNLO (MCFM and MATRIX). Good agreement is observed between the measured and expected total and differential cross sections.

In the absence of significant deviations from SM expectations, the data are used to set limits on anomalous couplings of photons and Z bosons. Limits on aTGCs are determined using a modified SM Lagrangian that includes operators proportional to the h_3^V and h_4^V ($V = Z$ or γ) parameters of the vertex function parameterization of aTGC contributions to $Z\gamma$ production. The limits are also transformed into limits on the $C_{\tilde{B}W}/\Lambda^4$, C_{BW}/Λ^4 , C_{WW}/Λ^4 and C_{BB}/Λ^4 parameters of an effective field theory formulation of aTGC effects. The limits obtained from the current study are 3–7 times more stringent than those available prior to this study.

Acknowledgments

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR,

Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, CANARIE, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, ERDF, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (U.K.) and BNL (U.S.A.), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in ref. [57].

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 072 [[arXiv:1106.1592](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.1592)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurements of $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 112003 [Erratum *ibid.* **D 91** (2015) 119901] [[arXiv:1302.1283](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.1283)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of the $Z\gamma$ production cross section in pp collisions at 8 TeV and search for anomalous triple gauge boson couplings*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 164 [[arXiv:1502.05664](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.05664)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of the $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and limits on anomalous $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ trilinear gauge boson couplings*, *Phys. Lett. B* **760** (2016) 448 [[arXiv:1602.07152](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07152)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurements of $Z\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 112002 [[arXiv:1604.05232](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.05232)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [6] L3 collaboration, P. Achard et al., *Study of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma$ process at LEP and limits on triple neutral-gauge-boson couplings*, *Phys. Lett. B* **597** (2004) 119 [[hep-ex/0407012](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] DELPHI collaboration, J. Abdallah et al., *Study of triple-gauge-boson couplings ZZZ , $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ LEP*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **51** (2007) 525 [[arXiv:0706.2741](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] OPAL collaboration, G. Abbiendi et al., *Search for trilinear neutral gauge boson couplings in Z^- gamma production at $S^{(1/2)} = 189$ GeV at LEP*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **17** (2000) 553 [[hep-ex/0007016](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] OPAL collaboration, G. Abbiendi et al., *Constraints on anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings from $\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma\gamma$ and $q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ events at LEP-2*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 032005 [[hep-ex/0402021](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] ALEPH collaboration, S. Schael et al., *Measurement of Z -pair production in e^+e^- collisions and constraints on anomalous neutral gauge couplings*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 124 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] D0 collaboration, V.M. Abazov et al., *$Z\gamma$ production and limits on anomalous $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ couplings in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 052001 [[arXiv:1111.3684](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] D0 collaboration, V.M. Abazov et al., *Measurement of the $Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ cross section and limits on anomalous $ZZ\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ couplings in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 201802 [[arXiv:0902.2157](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., *Limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings in $Z\gamma$ events from $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 051802 [[arXiv:1103.2990](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] A. Arbey et al., *Fundamental composite electroweak dynamics: status at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 015028 [[arXiv:1502.04718](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] G.J. Gounaris, J. Layssac and F.M. Renard, *New and standard physics contributions to anomalous Z and γ selfcouplings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 073013 [[hep-ph/0003143](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] ATLAS collaboration, *Studies of $Z\gamma$ production in association with a high-mass dijet system in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 107 [[arXiv:1705.01966](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] A. Gutierrez-Rodriguez, M. Koksai, A.A. Billur and M.A. Hernandez-Ruiz, *Studies on the anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments of the tau-neutrino in pp collisions at the LHC*, [arXiv:1712.02439](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] L3 collaboration, M. Acciarri et al., *Search for new physics in energetic single photon production in e^+e^- annihilation at the Z resonance*, *Phys. Lett. B* **412** (1997) 201 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, 2008* *JINST* **3** S08003 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS Trigger System in 2015*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 317 [[arXiv:1611.09661](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] ATLAS collaboration, *Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 653 [[arXiv:1608.03953](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] G. Avoni et al., *The new LUCID-2 detector for luminosity measurement and monitoring in ATLAS, 2018* *JINST* **13** P07017 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS simulation infrastructure*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 823 [[arXiv:1005.4568](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [24] GEANT4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] T. Gleisberg et al., *Event generation with SHERPA 1.1*, *JHEP* **02** (2009) 007 [[arXiv:0811.4622](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] NNPDF collaboration, R.D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions for the LHC Run II*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 040 [[arXiv:1410.8849](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] H.-L. Lai et al., *New parton distributions for collider physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 074024 [[arXiv:1007.2241](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] J. Alwall et al., *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079 [[arXiv:1405.0301](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852 [[arXiv:0710.3820](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] ATLAS collaboration, *Photon identification in 2015 ATLAS data*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-014* (2016).
- [31] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the photon identification efficiencies with the ATLAS detector using LHC Run-1 data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 666 [[arXiv:1606.01813](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] ATLAS collaboration, *Electron efficiency measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015 LHC proton-proton collision data*, *ATLAS-CONF-2016-024* (2016).
- [33] ATLAS collaboration, *Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 292 [[arXiv:1603.05598](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] ATLAS collaboration, *Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 490 [[arXiv:1603.02934](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063 [[arXiv:0802.1189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] ATLAS collaboration, *Selection of jets produced in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector*, *ATLAS-CONF-2015-029* (2015).
- [37] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 581 [[arXiv:1510.03823](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 072002 [[arXiv:1703.09665](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction with the ATLAS detector using proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 903 [[arXiv:1802.08168](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction for the ATLAS detector in the first proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-027* (2015).
- [41] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for new phenomena in events with a photon and missing transverse momentum in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **06** (2016) 059 [[arXiv:1604.01306](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [42] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 052005 [[arXiv:1012.4389](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] S. Dulat et al., *New parton distribution functions from a global analysis of quantum chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 033006 [[arXiv:1506.07443](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] L.A. Harland-Lang, A.D. Martin, P. Motylinski and R.S. Thorne, *Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 204 [[arXiv:1412.3989](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, K.A. Olive et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *Chin. Phys. C* **38** (2014) 090001 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Run 1 PYTHIA8 tunes*, [ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021](#) (2014).
- [47] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis and C. Williams, *Vector boson pair production at the LHC*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 018 [[arXiv:1105.0020](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit and M. Wiesemann, *Fully differential NNLO computations with MATRIX*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 537 [[arXiv:1711.06631](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] S. Frixione, *Isolated photons in perturbative QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **429** (1998) 369 [[hep-ph/9801442](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] J. Butterworth et al., *PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II*, *J. Phys. G* **43** (2016) 023001 [[arXiv:1510.03865](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] J.M. Campbell, T. Neumann and C. Williams, *$Z\gamma$ production at NNLO including anomalous couplings*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 150 [[arXiv:1708.02925](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] U. Baur and E.L. Berger, *Probing the weak boson sector in $Z\gamma$ production at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 4889 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] G. D’Agostini, *A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes’ theorem*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **362** (1995) 487 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] A. Barroso, F. Boudjema, J. Cole and N. Dombey, *Electromagnetic properties of the Z boson. I*, *Zeit. Phys. C* **28** (1985) 149.
- [55] C. Degrande et al., *Effective field theory: a modern approach to anomalous couplings*, *Annals Phys.* **335** (2013) 21 [[arXiv:1205.4231](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] C. Degrande, *A basis of dimension-eight operators for anomalous neutral triple gauge boson interactions*, *JHEP* **02** (2014) 101 [[arXiv:1308.6323](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS computing acknowledgements*, [ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002](#) (2016).

The ATLAS collaboration

M. Aaboud^{34d}, G. Aad⁹⁹, B. Abbott¹²⁴, O. Abidinov^{13,*}, B. Abeloos¹²⁸, D.K. Abhayasinghe⁹¹, S.H. Abidi¹⁶⁴, O.S. AbouZeid³⁹, N.L. Abraham¹⁵³, H. Abramowicz¹⁵⁸, H. Abreu¹⁵⁷, Y. Abulaiti⁶, B.S. Acharya^{64a,64b,n}, S. Adachi¹⁶⁰, L. Adam⁹⁷, L. Adamczyk^{81a}, J. Adelman¹¹⁹, M. Adersberger¹¹², A. Adiguzel^{12c,af}, T. Adye¹⁴¹, A.A. Affolder¹⁴³, Y. Afik¹⁵⁷, C. Agheorghiesei^{27c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{136f,136a}, F. Ahmadov^{77,ad}, G. Aielli^{71a,71b}, S. Akatsuka⁸³, T.P.A. Åkesson⁹⁴, E. Akilli⁵², A.V. Akimov¹⁰⁸, G.L. Alberghi^{23b,23a}, J. Albert¹⁷³, P. Albicocco⁴⁹, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁸⁶, S. Alderweireldt¹¹⁷, M. Aleksa³⁵, I.N. Aleksandrov⁷⁷, C. Alexa^{27b}, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob¹²⁴, B. Ali¹³⁸, G. Alimonti^{66a}, J. Alison³⁶, S.P. Alkire¹⁴⁵, C. Allaire¹²⁸, B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁵³, B.W. Allen¹²⁷, P.P. Allport²¹, A. Aloisio^{67a,67b}, A. Alonso³⁹, F. Alonso⁸⁶, C. Alpigiani¹⁴⁵, A.A. Alshehri⁵⁵, M.I. Alstaty⁹⁹, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³⁵, D. Álvarez Piqueras¹⁷¹, M.G. Alvigi^{67a,67b}, B.T. Amadio¹⁸, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{78b}, A. Ambler¹⁰¹, L. Ambroz¹³¹, C. Amelung²⁶, D. Amidei¹⁰³, S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{136a,136c}, S. Amoroso⁴⁴, C.S. Amrouche⁵², C. Anastopoulos¹⁴⁶, L.S. Ancu⁵², N. Andari¹⁴², T. Andeen¹¹, C.F. Anders^{59b}, J.K. Anders²⁰, K.J. Anderson³⁶, A. Andreazza^{66a,66b}, V. Andrei^{59a}, C.R. Anelli¹⁷³, S. Angelidakis³⁷, I. Angelozzi¹¹⁸, A. Angerami³⁸, A.V. Anisenkov^{120b,120a}, A. Annovi^{69a}, C. Antel^{59a}, M.T. Anthony¹⁴⁶, M. Antonelli⁴⁹, D.J.A. Antrim¹⁶⁸, F. Anulli^{70a}, M. Aoki⁷⁹, J.A. Aparisi Pozo¹⁷¹, L. Aperio Bella³⁵, G. Arabidze¹⁰⁴, J.P. Araque^{136a}, V. Araujo Ferraz^{78b}, R. Araujo Pereira^{78b}, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁷, R.E. Ardell⁹¹, F.A. Arduh⁸⁶, J-F. Arguin¹⁰⁷, S. Argyropoulos⁷⁵, A.J. Armbruster³⁵, L.J. Armitage⁹⁰, A. Armstrong¹⁶⁸, O. Arnaez¹⁶⁴, H. Arnold¹¹⁸, M. Arratia³¹, O. Arslan²⁴, A. Artamonov^{109,*}, G. Artoni¹³¹, S. Artz⁹⁷, S. Asai¹⁶⁰, N. Asbah⁵⁷, E.M. Asimakopoulou¹⁶⁹, L. Asquith¹⁵³, K. Assamagan²⁹, R. Astalos^{28a}, R.J. Atkin^{32a}, M. Atkinson¹⁷⁰, N.B. Atlay¹⁴⁸, K. Augsten¹³⁸, G. Avolio³⁵, R. Avramidou^{58a}, M.K. Ayoub^{15a}, A.M. Azoulay^{165b}, G. Azuelos^{107,aq}, A.E. Baas^{59a}, M.J. Baca²¹, H. Bachacou¹⁴², K. Bachas^{65a,65b}, M. Backes¹³¹, P. Bagnaia^{70a,70b}, M. Bahmani⁸², H. Bahrasemani¹⁴⁹, A.J. Bailey¹⁷¹, J.T. Baines¹⁴¹, M. Bajic³⁹, C. Bakalis¹⁰, O.K. Baker¹⁸⁰, P.J. Bakker¹¹⁸, D. Bakshi Gupta⁸, S. Balaji¹⁵⁴, E.M. Baldin^{120b,120a}, P. Balek¹⁷⁷, F. Balli¹⁴², W.K. Balunas¹³³, J. Balz⁹⁷, E. Banas⁸², A. Bandyopadhyay²⁴, S. Banerjee^{178,j}, A.A.E. Bannoura¹⁷⁹, L. Barak¹⁵⁸, W.M. Barbe³⁷, E.L. Barberio¹⁰², D. Barberis^{53b,53a}, M. Barbero⁹⁹, T. Barillari¹¹³, M-S. Barisits³⁵, J. Barkeloo¹²⁷, T. Barklow¹⁵⁰, R. Barnea¹⁵⁷, S.L. Barnes^{58c}, B.M. Barnett¹⁴¹, R.M. Barnett¹⁸, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy^{58a}, A. Baroncelli^{72a}, G. Barone²⁹, A.J. Barr¹³¹, L. Barranco Navarro¹⁷¹, F. Barreiro⁹⁶, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa^{15a}, R. Bartoldus¹⁵⁰, A.E. Barton⁸⁷, P. Bartos^{28a}, A. Basalae¹³⁴, A. Bassalat¹²⁸, R.L. Bates⁵⁵, S.J. Batista¹⁶⁴, S. Batlamous^{34e}, J.R. Batley³¹, M. Battaglia¹⁴³, M. Bause^{70a,70b}, F. Bauer¹⁴², K.T. Bauer¹⁶⁸, H.S. Bawa^{150,l}, J.B. Beacham¹²², T. Beau¹³², P.H. Beauchemin¹⁶⁷, P. Bechtel²⁴, H.C. Beck⁵¹, H.P. Beck^{20,q}, K. Becker⁵⁰, M. Becker⁹⁷, C. Becot⁴⁴, A. Beddall^{12d}, A.J. Beddall^{12a}, V.A. Bednyakov⁷⁷, M. Bedognetti¹¹⁸, C.P. Bee¹⁵², T.A. Beermann⁷⁴, M. Begalli^{78b}, M. Beger²⁹, A. Behera¹⁵², J.K. Behr⁴⁴, A.S. Bell⁹², G. Bella¹⁵⁸, L. Bellagamba^{23b}, A. Bellerive³³, M. Bellomo¹⁵⁷, P. Bellos⁹, K. Belotskiy¹¹⁰, N.L. Belyaev¹¹⁰, O. Benary^{158,*}, D. Benchekroun^{34a}, M. Bender¹¹², N. Benekos¹⁰, Y. Benhammou¹⁵⁸, E. Benhar Noccioli¹⁸⁰, J. Benitez⁷⁵, D.P. Benjamin⁴⁷, M. Benoit⁵², J.R. Bensinger²⁶, S. Bentvelsen¹¹⁸, L. Beresford¹³¹, M. Beretta⁴⁹, D. BERGE⁴⁴, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann¹⁶⁹, N. Berger⁵, L.J. Bergsten²⁶, J. Beringer¹⁸, S. Berlendis⁷, N.R. Bernard¹⁰⁰, G. Bernardi¹³², C. Bernius¹⁵⁰, F.U. Bernlochner²⁴, T. Berry⁹¹, P. Berta⁹⁷, C. Bertella^{15a}, G. Bertoli^{43a,43b}, I.A. Bertram⁸⁷, G.J. Besjes³⁹, O. Bessidskaia Bylund¹⁷⁹, M. Bessner⁴⁴, N. Besson¹⁴², A. Bethani⁹⁸, S. Bethke¹¹³, A. Betti²⁴, A.J. Bevan⁹⁰, J. Beyer¹¹³, R. Bi¹³⁵, R.M. Bianchi¹³⁵, O. Biebel¹¹², D. Biedermann¹⁹, R. Bielski³⁵, K. Bierwagen⁹⁷, N.V. Biesuz^{69a,69b}, M. Biglietti^{72a},

T.R.V. Billoud¹⁰⁷, M. Bindi⁵¹, A. Bingul^{12d}, C. Bini^{70a,70b}, S. Biondi^{23b,23a}, M. Birman¹⁷⁷, T. Bisanz⁵¹, J.P. Biswal¹⁵⁸, C. Bittrich⁴⁶, D.M. Bjergaard⁴⁷, J.E. Black¹⁵⁰, K.M. Black²⁵, T. Blazek^{28a}, I. Bloch⁴⁴, C. Blocker²⁶, A. Blue⁵⁵, U. Blumenschein⁹⁰, Dr. Blunier^{144a}, G.J. Bobbink¹¹⁸, V.S. Bobrovnikov^{120b,120a}, S.S. Bocchetta⁹⁴, A. Bocci⁴⁷, D. Boerner¹⁷⁹, D. Bogavac¹¹², A.G. Bogdanchikov^{120b,120a}, C. Bohm^{43a}, V. Boisvert⁹¹, P. Bokan^{169,51}, T. Bold^{81a}, A.S. Boldyrev¹¹¹, A.E. Bolz^{59b}, M. Bomben¹³², M. Bona⁹⁰, J.S. Bonilla¹²⁷, M. Boonekamp¹⁴², A. Borisov¹⁴⁰, G. Borissov⁸⁷, J. Bortfeldt³⁵, D. Bortoletto¹³¹, V. Bortolotto^{71a,71b}, D. Boscherini^{23b}, M. Bosman¹⁴, J.D. Bossio Sola³⁰, K. Bouaouda^{34a}, J. Boudreau¹³⁵, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁸⁷, D. Boumediene³⁷, C. Bourdarios¹²⁸, S.K. Boutle⁵⁵, A. Boveia¹²², J. Boyd³⁵, D. Boye^{32b}, I.R. Boyko⁷⁷, A.J. Bozson⁹¹, J. Bracinik²¹, N. Brahimi⁹⁹, A. Brandt⁸, G. Brandt¹⁷⁹, O. Brandt^{59a}, F. Braren⁴⁴, U. Bratzler¹⁶¹, B. Brau¹⁰⁰, J.E. Brau¹²⁷, W.D. Breaden Madden⁵⁵, K. Brendlinger⁴⁴, L. Brenner⁴⁴, R. Brenner¹⁶⁹, S. Bressler¹⁷⁷, B. Brickwedde⁹⁷, D.L. Briglin²¹, D. Britton⁵⁵, D. Britzger¹¹³, I. Brock²⁴, R. Brock¹⁰⁴, G. Brooijmans³⁸, T. Brooks⁹¹, W.K. Brooks^{144b}, E. Brost¹¹⁹, J.H. Broughton²¹, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom⁸², D. Bruncko^{28b}, A. Bruni^{23b}, G. Bruni^{23b}, L.S. Bruni¹¹⁸, S. Bruno^{71a,71b}, B.H. Brunt³¹, M. Bruschi^{23b}, N. Bruscino¹³⁵, P. Bryant³⁶, L. Bryngemark⁴⁴, T. Buanes¹⁷, Q. Buat³⁵, P. Buchholz¹⁴⁸, A.G. Buckley⁵⁵, I.A. Budagov⁷⁷, F. Buehrer⁵⁰, M.K. Bugge¹³⁰, O. Bulekov¹¹⁰, D. Bullock⁸, T.J. Burch¹¹⁹, S. Burdin⁸⁸, C.D. Burgard¹¹⁸, A.M. Burger⁵, B. Burghgrave¹¹⁹, K. Burka⁸², S. Burke¹⁴¹, I. Burmeister⁴⁵, J.T.P. Burr¹³¹, V. Büscher⁹⁷, E. Buschmann⁵¹, P. Bussey⁵⁵, J.M. Butler²⁵, C.M. Buttar⁵⁵, J.M. Butterworth⁹², P. Butti³⁵, W. Buttinger³⁵, A. Buzatu¹⁵⁵, A.R. Buzykaev^{120b,120a}, G. Cabras^{23b,23a}, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁷¹, D. Caforio¹³⁸, H. Cai¹⁷⁰, V.M.M. Cairo², O. Cakir^{4a}, N. Calace⁵², P. Calafiura¹⁸, A. Calandri⁹⁹, G. Calderini¹³², P. Calfayan⁶³, G. Callea^{40b,40a}, L.P. Caloba^{78b}, S. Calvente Lopez⁹⁶, D. Calvet³⁷, S. Calvet³⁷, T.P. Calvet¹⁵², M. Calvetti^{69a,69b}, R. Camacho Toro¹³², S. Camarda³⁵, D. Camarero Munoz⁹⁶, P. Camarri^{71a,71b}, D. Cameron¹³⁰, R. Caminal Armadans¹⁰⁰, C. Camincher³⁵, S. Campana³⁵, M. Campanelli⁹², A. Camplani³⁹, A. Campoverde¹⁴⁸, V. Canale^{67a,67b}, M. Cano Bret^{58c}, J. Cantero¹²⁵, T. Cao¹⁵⁸, Y. Cao¹⁷⁰, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido³⁵, I. Caprini^{27b}, M. Caprini^{27b}, M. Capua^{40b,40a}, R.M. Carbone³⁸, R. Cardarelli^{71a}, F.C. Cardillo¹⁴⁶, I. Carli¹³⁹, T. Carli³⁵, G. Carlino^{67a}, B.T. Carlson¹³⁵, L. Carminati^{66a,66b}, R.M.D. Carney^{43a,43b}, S. Caron¹¹⁷, E. Carquin^{144b}, S. Carrá^{66a,66b}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya³⁵, D. Casadei^{32b}, M.P. Casado^{14,f}, A.F. Casha¹⁶⁴, D.W. Casper¹⁶⁸, R. Castelijns¹¹⁸, F.L. Castillo¹⁷¹, V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁷¹, N.F. Castro^{136a,136e}, A. Catinaccio³⁵, J.R. Catmore¹³⁰, A. Cattai³⁵, J. Caudron²⁴, V. Cavaliere²⁹, E. Cavallaro¹⁴, D. Cavalli^{66a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹⁴, V. Cavasinni^{69a,69b}, E. Celebi^{12b}, F. Ceradini^{72a,72b}, L. Cerda Alberich¹⁷¹, A.S. Cerqueira^{78a}, A. Cerri¹⁵³, L. Cerrito^{71a,71b}, F. Cerutti¹⁸, A. Cervelli^{23b,23a}, S.A. Cetin^{12b}, A. Chafaq^{34a}, D. Chakraborty¹¹⁹, S.K. Chan⁵⁷, W.S. Chan¹¹⁸, Y.L. Chan^{61a}, J.D. Chapman³¹, B. Chargeishvili^{156b}, D.G. Charlton²¹, C.C. Chau³³, C.A. Chavez Barajas¹⁵³, S. Che¹²², A. Chegwidden¹⁰⁴, S. Chekanov⁶, S.V. Chekulaev^{165a}, G.A. Chelkov^{77,ap}, M.A. Chelstowska³⁵, C. Chen^{58a}, C.H. Chen⁷⁶, H. Chen²⁹, J. Chen^{58a}, J. Chen³⁸, S. Chen¹³³, S.J. Chen^{15c}, X. Chen^{15b,ao}, Y. Chen⁸⁰, Y.-H. Chen⁴⁴, H.C. Cheng¹⁰³, H.J. Cheng^{15d}, A. Cheplakov⁷⁷, E. Cheremushkina¹⁴⁰, R. Cherkaoui El Moursli^{34e}, E. Cheu⁷, K. Cheung⁶², L. Chevalier¹⁴², V. Chiarella⁴⁹, G. Chiarelli^{69a}, G. Chiodini^{65a}, A.S. Chisholm^{35,21}, A. Chitan^{27b}, I. Chiu¹⁶⁰, Y.H. Chiu¹⁷³, M.V. Chizhov⁷⁷, K. Choi⁶³, A.R. Chomont¹²⁸, S. Chouridou¹⁵⁹, Y.S. Chow¹¹⁸, V. Christodoulou⁹², M.C. Chu^{61a}, J. Chudoba¹³⁷, A.J. Chuinard¹⁰¹, J.J. Chwastowski⁸², L. Chytka¹²⁶, D. Cinca⁴⁵, V. Cindro⁸⁹, I.A. Cioară²⁴, A. Ciocio¹⁸, F. Ciotto^{67a,67b}, Z.H. Citron¹⁷⁷, M. Citterio^{66a}, A. Clark⁵², M.R. Clark³⁸, P.J. Clark⁴⁸, C. Clement^{43a,43b}, Y. Coadou⁹⁹, M. Cokal^{64a,64c}, A. Coccaro^{53b,53a}, J. Cochran⁷⁶, H. Cohen¹⁵⁸, A.E.C. Coimbra¹⁷⁷, L. Colasurdo¹¹⁷, B. Cole³⁸, A.P. Colijn¹¹⁸, J. Collot⁵⁶, P. Conde Muiño^{136a,136b}, E. Coniavitis⁵⁰,

S.H. Connell^{32b}, I.A. Connelly⁹⁸, S. Constantinescu^{27b}, F. Conventi^{67a,ar}, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹³¹, F. Cormier¹⁷², K.J.R. Cormier¹⁶⁴, L.D. Corpe⁹², M. Corradi^{70a,70b}, E.E. Corrigan⁹⁴, F. Corriveau^{101,ab}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez³⁵, M.J. Costa¹⁷¹, F. Costanza⁵, D. Costanzo¹⁴⁶, G. Cottin³¹, G. Cowan⁹¹, B.E. Cox⁹⁸, J. Crane⁹⁸, K. Cranmer¹²¹, S.J. Crawley⁵⁵, R.A. Creager¹³³, G. Cree³³, S. Crépé-Renaudin⁵⁶, F. Crescioli¹³², M. Cristinziani²⁴, V. Croft¹²¹, G. Crosetti^{40b,40a}, A. Cueto⁹⁶, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁴⁶, A.R. Cukierman¹⁵⁰, S. Czekierda⁸², P. Czodrowski³⁵, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{58b,136b}, C. Da Via⁹⁸, W. Dabrowski^{81a}, T. Dado^{28a,w}, S. Dahbi^{34e}, T. Dai¹⁰³, F. Dallaire¹⁰⁷, C. Dallapiccola¹⁰⁰, M. Dam³⁹, G. D’amen^{23b,23a}, J. Damp⁹⁷, J.R. Dandoy¹³³, M.F. Daneri³⁰, N.P. Dang^{178j}, N.D. Dann⁹⁸, M. Danninger¹⁷², V. Dao³⁵, G. Darbo^{53b}, S. Darmora⁸, O. Dartsis⁵, A. Dattagupta¹²⁷, T. Daubney⁴⁴, S. D’Auria^{66a,66b}, W. Davey²⁴, C. David⁴⁴, T. Davidek¹³⁹, D.R. Davis⁴⁷, E. Dawe¹⁰², I. Dawson¹⁴⁶, K. De⁸, R. De Asmundis^{67a}, A. De Benedetti¹²⁴, M. De Beurs¹¹⁸, S. De Castro^{23b,23a}, S. De Cecco^{70a,70b}, N. De Groot¹¹⁷, P. de Jong¹¹⁸, H. De la Torre¹⁰⁴, F. De Lorenzi⁷⁶, A. De Maria^{69a,69b}, D. De Pedis^{70a}, A. De Salvo^{70a}, U. De Sanctis^{71a,71b}, M. De Santis^{71a,71b}, A. De Santo¹⁵³, K. De Vasconcelos Corga⁹⁹, J.B. De Vivie De Regie¹²⁸, C. Debenedetti¹⁴³, D.V. Dedovich⁷⁷, N. Dehghanian³, M. Del Gaudio^{40b,40a}, J. Del Peso⁹⁶, Y. Delabat Diaz⁴⁴, D. Delgove¹²⁸, F. Deliot¹⁴², C.M. Delitzsch⁷, M. Della Pietra^{67a,67b}, D. Della Volpe⁵², A. Dell’Acqua³⁵, L. Dell’Asta²⁵, M. Delmastro⁵, C. Delporte¹²⁸, P.A. Delsart⁵⁶, D.A. DeMarco¹⁶⁴, S. Demers¹⁸⁰, M. Demichev⁷⁷, S.P. Denisov¹⁴⁰, D. Denysiuk¹¹⁸, L. D’Eramo¹³², D. Derendarz⁸², J.E. Derkaoui^{34d}, F. Derue¹³², P. Dervan⁸⁸, K. Desch²⁴, C. Deterre⁴⁴, K. Dette¹⁶⁴, M.R. Devesa³⁰, P.O. Deviveiros³⁵, A. Dewhurst¹⁴¹, S. Dhaliwal²⁶, F.A. Di Bello⁵², A. Di Ciaccio^{71a,71b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, W.K. Di Clemente¹³³, C. Di Donato^{67a,67b}, A. Di Girolamo³⁵, G. Di Gregorio^{69a,69b}, B. Di Micco^{72a,72b}, R. Di Nardo¹⁰⁰, K.F. Di Petrillo⁵⁷, R. Di Sipio¹⁶⁴, D. Di Valentino³³, C. Diaconu⁹⁹, M. Diamond¹⁶⁴, F.A. Dias³⁹, T. Dias Do Vale^{136a}, M.A. Diaz^{144a}, J. Dickinson¹⁸, E.B. Diehl¹⁰³, J. Dietrich¹⁹, S. Díez Cornell⁴⁴, A. Dimitrievska¹⁸, J. Dingfelder²⁴, F. Dittus³⁵, F. Djama⁹⁹, T. Djobava^{156b}, J.I. Djuvsland^{59a}, M.A.B. Do Vale^{78c}, M. Dobre^{27b}, D. Dodsworth²⁶, C. Doglioni⁹⁴, J. Dolejsi¹³⁹, Z. Dolezal¹³⁹, M. Donadelli^{78d}, J. Donini³⁷, A. D’Onofrio⁹⁰, M. D’Onofrio⁸⁸, J. Dopke¹⁴¹, A. Doria^{67a}, M.T. Dova⁸⁶, A.T. Doyle⁵⁵, E. Drechsler⁵¹, E. Dreyer¹⁴⁹, T. Dreyer⁵¹, Y. Du^{58b}, F. Dubinin¹⁰⁸, M. Dubovsky^{28a}, A. Dubreuil⁵², E. Duchovni¹⁷⁷, G. Duckeck¹¹², A. Ducourthial¹³², O.A. Ducu^{107,v}, D. Duda¹¹³, A. Dudarev³⁵, A.C. Dudder⁹⁷, E.M. Duffield¹⁸, L. Duflo¹²⁸, M. Dührssen³⁵, C. Dülsen¹⁷⁹, M. Dumancic¹⁷⁷, A.E. Dumitriu^{27b,d}, A.K. Duncan⁵⁵, M. Dunford^{59a}, A. Duperrin⁹⁹, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵⁴, A. Durglishvili^{156b}, D. Duschinger⁴⁶, B. Dutta⁴⁴, D. Duvnjak¹, M. Dyndal⁴⁴, S. Dysch⁹⁸, B.S. Dziedzic⁸², C. Eckardt⁴⁴, K.M. Ecker¹¹³, R.C. Edgar¹⁰³, T. Eifert³⁵, G. Eigen¹⁷, K. Einsweiler¹⁸, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁹, M. El Kacimi^{34c}, R. El Kosseifi⁹⁹, V. Ellajosyula⁹⁹, M. Ellert¹⁶⁹, F. Ellinghaus¹⁷⁹, A.A. Elliot⁹⁰, N. Ellis³⁵, J. Elmsheuser²⁹, M. Elsing³⁵, D. Emelianov¹⁴¹, A. Emerman³⁸, Y. Enari¹⁶⁰, J.S. Ennis¹⁷⁵, M.B. Epland⁴⁷, J. Erdmann⁴⁵, A. Ereditato²⁰, S. Errede¹⁷⁰, M. Escalier¹²⁸, C. Escobar¹⁷¹, O. Estrada Pastor¹⁷¹, A.I. Etienvre¹⁴², E. Etzion¹⁵⁸, H. Evans⁶³, A. Ezhilov¹³⁴, M. Ezzi^{34e}, F. Fabbri⁵⁵, L. Fabbri^{23b,23a}, V. Fabiani¹¹⁷, G. Facini⁹², R.M. Faisca Rodrigues Pereira^{136a}, R.M. Fakhruddinov¹⁴⁰, S. Falciano^{70a}, P.J. Falke⁵, S. Falke⁵, J. Faltova¹³⁹, Y. Fang^{15a}, M. Fanti^{66a,66b}, A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{72a}, E.M. Farina^{68a,68b}, T. Farooque¹⁰⁴, S. Farrell¹⁸, S.M. Farrington¹⁷⁵, P. Farthouat³⁵, F. Fassi^{34e}, P. Fassnacht³⁵, D. Fassouliotis⁹, M. Fauci Giannelli⁴⁸, A. Favareto^{53b,53a}, W.J. Fawcett³¹, L. Fayard¹²⁸, O.L. Fedin^{134,o}, W. Fedorko¹⁷², M. Feickert⁴¹, S. Feigl¹³⁰, L. Feligioni⁹⁹, C. Feng^{58b}, E.J. Feng³⁵, M. Feng⁴⁷, M.J. Fenton⁵⁵, A.B. Fenyuk¹⁴⁰, L. Feremenga⁸, J. Ferrando⁴⁴, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁹, P. Ferrari¹¹⁸, R. Ferrari^{68a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima^{59b}, A. Ferrer¹⁷¹, D. Ferrere⁵², C. Ferretti¹⁰³, F. Fiedler⁹⁷, A. Filipčič⁸⁹, F. Filthaut¹¹⁷, K.D. Finelli²⁵, M.C.N. Fiolhais^{136a,136c,a}, L. Fiorini¹⁷¹, C. Fischer¹⁴,

W.C. Fisher¹⁰⁴, N. Flaschel⁴⁴, I. Fleck¹⁴⁸, P. Fleischmann¹⁰³, R.R.M. Fletcher¹³³, T. Flick¹⁷⁹, B.M. Flierl¹¹², L.M. Flores¹³³, L.R. Flores Castillo^{61a}, F.M. Follega^{73a,73b}, N. Fomin¹⁷, G.T. Forcolin^{73a,73b}, A. Formica¹⁴², F.A. Förster¹⁴, A.C. Forti⁹⁸, A.G. Foster²¹, D. Fournier¹²⁸, H. Fox⁸⁷, S. Fracchia¹⁴⁶, P. Francavilla^{69a,69b}, M. Franchini^{23b,23a}, S. Franchino^{59a}, D. Francis³⁵, L. Franconi¹⁴³, M. Franklin⁵⁷, M. Frate¹⁶⁸, M. Fraternali^{68a,68b}, A.N. Fray⁹⁰, D. Freeborn⁹², S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu³⁵, B. Freund¹⁰⁷, W.S. Freund^{78b}, E.M. Freundlich⁴⁵, D.C. Frizzell¹²⁴, D. Froidevaux³⁵, J.A. Frost¹³¹, C. Fukunaga¹⁶¹, E. Fullana Torregrosa¹⁷¹, T. Fusayasu¹¹⁴, J. Fuster¹⁷¹, O. Gabizon¹⁵⁷, A. Gabrielli^{23b,23a}, A. Gabrielli¹⁸, G.P. Gach^{81a}, S. Gadatsch⁵², P. Gadow¹¹³, G. Gagliardi^{53b,53a}, L.G. Gagnon¹⁰⁷, C. Galea^{27b}, B. Galhardo^{136a,136c}, E.J. Gallas¹³¹, B.J. Gallop¹⁴¹, P. Gallus¹³⁸, G. Galster³⁹, R. Gamboa Goni⁹⁰, K.K. Gan¹²², S. Ganguly¹⁷⁷, J. Gao^{58a}, Y. Gao⁸⁸, Y.S. Gao^{150,1}, C. García¹⁷¹, J.E. García Navarro¹⁷¹, J.A. García Pascual^{15a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁸, R.W. Gardner³⁶, N. Garelli¹⁵⁰, V. Garonne¹³⁰, K. Gasnikova⁴⁴, A. Gaudiello^{53b,53a}, G. Gaudio^{68a}, I.L. Gavrilenko¹⁰⁸, A. Gavriluk¹⁰⁹, C. Gay¹⁷², G. Gaycken²⁴, E.N. Gazis¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee¹⁴¹, J. Geisen⁵¹, M. Geisen⁹⁷, M.P. Geisler^{59a}, K. Gellerstedt^{43a,43b}, C. Gemme^{53b}, M.H. Genest⁵⁶, C. Geng¹⁰³, S. Gentile^{70a,70b}, S. George⁹¹, D. Gerbaudo¹⁴, G. Gessner⁴⁵, S. Ghasemi¹⁴⁸, M. Ghasemi Bostanabad¹⁷³, M. Ghneimat²⁴, B. Giacobbe^{23b}, S. Giagu^{70a,70b}, N. Giangiacomi^{23b,23a}, P. Giannetti^{69a}, A. Giannini^{67a,67b}, S.M. Gibson⁹¹, M. Gignac¹⁴³, D. Gillberg³³, G. Gilles¹⁷⁹, D.M. Gingrich^{3,aq}, M.P. Giordani^{64a,64c}, F.M. Giorgi^{23b}, P.F. Giraud¹⁴², P. Giromini⁵⁷, G. Giugliarelli^{64a,64c}, D. Giugni^{66a}, F. Giuli¹³¹, M. Giulini^{59b}, S. Gkaitatzis¹⁵⁹, I. Gkialas^{9,i}, E.L. Gkougkousis¹⁴, P. Gkoutoumis¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin¹¹¹, C. Glasman⁹⁶, J. Glatzer¹⁴, P.C.F. Glaysheer⁴⁴, A. Glazov⁴⁴, M. Goblirsch-Kolb²⁶, J. Godlewski⁸², S. Goldfarb¹⁰², T. Golling⁵², D. Golubkov¹⁴⁰, A. Gomes^{136a,136b,136d}, R. Goncalves Gama^{78a}, R. Gonçalves^{136a}, G. Gonella⁵⁰, L. Gonella²¹, A. Gongadze⁷⁷, F. Gonnella²¹, J.L. Gonski⁵⁷, S. González de la Hoz¹⁷¹, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁵², L. Goossens³⁵, P.A. Gorbounov¹⁰⁹, H.A. Gordon²⁹, B. Gorini³⁵, E. Gorini^{65a,65b}, A. Gorišek⁸⁹, A.T. Goshaw⁴⁷, C. Gössling⁴⁵, M.I. Gostkin⁷⁷, C.A. Gottardo²⁴, C.R. Goudet¹²⁸, D. Goujdami^{34c}, A.G. Goussiou¹⁴⁵, N. Govender^{32b,b}, C. Goy⁵, E. Gozani¹⁵⁷, I. Grabowska-Bold^{81a}, P.O.J. Gradin¹⁶⁹, E.C. Graham⁸⁸, J. Gramling¹⁶⁸, E. Gramstad¹³⁰, S. Grancagnolo¹⁹, V. Gratchev¹³⁴, P.M. Gravila^{27f}, F.G. Gravili^{65a,65b}, C. Gray⁵⁵, H.M. Gray¹⁸, Z.D. Greenwood^{93,ah}, C. Grefe²⁴, K. Gregersen⁹⁴, I.M. Gregor⁴⁴, P. Grenier¹⁵⁰, K. Grevtsov⁴⁴, N.A. Grieser¹²⁴, J. Griffiths⁸, A.A. Grillo¹⁴³, K. Grimm¹⁵⁰, S. Grinstein^{14,x}, Ph. Gris³⁷, J.-F. Grivaz¹²⁸, S. Groh⁹⁷, E. Gross¹⁷⁷, J. Grosse-Knetter⁵¹, G.C. Grossi⁹³, Z.J. Grout⁹², C. Grud¹⁰³, A. Grummer¹¹⁶, L. Guan¹⁰³, W. Guan¹⁷⁸, J. Guenther³⁵, A. Guerguichon¹²⁸, F. Guescini^{165a}, D. Guest¹⁶⁸, R. Gugel⁵⁰, B. Gui¹²², T. Guillemin⁵, S. Guindon³⁵, U. Gul⁵⁵, C. Gumpert³⁵, J. Guo^{58c}, W. Guo¹⁰³, Y. Guo^{58a,r}, Z. Guo⁹⁹, R. Gupta⁴⁴, S. Gurbuz^{12c}, G. Gustavino¹²⁴, B.J. Gutelman¹⁵⁷, P. Gutierrez¹²⁴, C. Gutsche⁹², C. Guyot¹⁴², M.P. Guzik^{81a}, C. Gwenlan¹³¹, C.B. Gwilliam⁸⁸, A. Haas¹²¹, C. Haber¹⁸, H.K. Hadavand⁸, N. Haddad^{34e}, A. Hader^{58a}, S. Hageböck²⁴, M. Hagihara¹⁶⁶, H. Hakobyan^{181,*}, M. Haleem¹⁷⁴, J. Haley¹²⁵, G. Halladjian¹⁰⁴, G.D. Hallewell⁹⁹, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁹, P. Hamal¹²⁶, K. Hamano¹⁷³, A. Hamilton^{32a}, G.N. Hamity¹⁴⁶, K. Han^{58a,ag}, L. Han^{58a}, S. Han^{15d}, K. Hanagaki^{79,t}, M. Hance¹⁴³, D.M. Handl¹¹², B. Haney¹³³, R. Hankache¹³², P. Hanke^{59a}, E. Hansen⁹⁴, J.B. Hansen³⁹, J.D. Hansen³⁹, M.C. Hansen²⁴, P.H. Hansen³⁹, K. Hara¹⁶⁶, A.S. Hard¹⁷⁸, T. Harenberg¹⁷⁹, S. Harkusha¹⁰⁵, P.F. Harrison¹⁷⁵, N.M. Hartmann¹¹², Y. Hasegawa¹⁴⁷, A. Hasib⁴⁸, S. Hassani¹⁴², S. Haug²⁰, R. Hauser¹⁰⁴, L. Hauswald⁴⁶, L.B. Havener³⁸, M. Havranek¹³⁸, C.M. Hawkes²¹, R.J. Hawkings³⁵, D. Hayden¹⁰⁴, C. Hayes¹⁵², C.P. Hays¹³¹, J.M. Hays⁹⁰, H.S. Hayward⁸⁸, S.J. Haywood¹⁴¹, M.P. Heath⁴⁸, V. Hedberg⁹⁴, L. Heelan⁸, S. Heer²⁴, K.K. Heidegger⁵⁰, J. Heilman³³, S. Heim⁴⁴, T. Heim¹⁸, B. Heinemann^{44,al}, J.J. Heinrich¹¹², L. Heinrich¹²¹, C. Heinz⁵⁴, J. Hejbal¹³⁷, L. Helary³⁵, A. Held¹⁷², S. Hellesund¹³⁰, S. Hellman^{43a,43b}, C. Helsen³⁵,

R.C.W. Henderson⁸⁷, Y. Heng¹⁷⁸, S. Henkelmann¹⁷², A.M. Henriques Correia³⁵, G.H. Herbert¹⁹, H. Herde²⁶, V. Herget¹⁷⁴, Y. Hernández Jiménez^{32c}, H. Herr⁹⁷, M.G. Herrmann¹¹², T. Herrmann⁴⁶, G. Herten⁵⁰, R. Hertenberger¹¹², L. Hervas³⁵, T.C. Herwig¹³³, G.G. Hesketh⁹², N.P. Hessey^{165a}, A. Higashida¹⁶⁰, S. Higashino⁷⁹, E. Higón-Rodríguez¹⁷¹, K. Hildebrand³⁶, E. Hill¹⁷³, J.C. Hill³¹, K.K. Hill²⁹, K.H. Hiller⁴⁴, S.J. Hillier²¹, M. Hils⁴⁶, I. Hinchliffe¹⁸, M. Hirose¹²⁹, D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁹, B. Hiti⁸⁹, O. Hladik¹³⁷, D.R. Hlaluku^{32c}, X. Hoad⁴⁸, J. Hobbs¹⁵², N. Hod^{165a}, M.C. Hodgkinson¹⁴⁶, A. Hoecker³⁵, M.R. Hoefkamp¹¹⁶, F. Hoenig¹¹², D. Hohn²⁴, D. Hohov¹²⁸, T.R. Holmes³⁶, M. Holzbock¹¹², M. Homann⁴⁵, S. Honda¹⁶⁶, T. Honda⁷⁹, T.M. Hong¹³⁵, A. Hönle¹¹³, B.H. Hooberman¹⁷⁰, W.H. Hopkins¹²⁷, Y. Horii¹¹⁵, P. Horn⁴⁶, A.J. Horton¹⁴⁹, L.A. Horyn³⁶, J.-Y. Hostachy⁵⁶, A. Hostiuc¹⁴⁵, S. Hou¹⁵⁵, A. Hoummada^{34a}, J. Howarth⁹⁸, J. Hoya⁸⁶, M. Hrabovsky¹²⁶, I. Hristova¹⁹, J. Hrivnac¹²⁸, A. Hrynevich¹⁰⁶, T. Hryn'ova⁵, P.J. Hsu⁶², S.-C. Hsu¹⁴⁵, Q. Hu²⁹, S. Hu^{58c}, Y. Huang^{15a}, Z. Hubacek¹³⁸, F. Hubaut⁹⁹, M. Huebner²⁴, F. Huegging²⁴, T.B. Huffman¹³¹, M. Huhtinen³⁵, R.F.H. Hunter³³, P. Huo¹⁵², A.M. Hupe³³, N. Huseynov^{77,ad}, J. Huston¹⁰⁴, J. Huth⁵⁷, R. Hyneman¹⁰³, G. Iacobucci⁵², G. Iakovidis²⁹, I. Ibragimov¹⁴⁸, L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹²⁸, Z. Idrissi^{34e}, P. Iengo³⁵, R. Ignazzi³⁹, O. Igonkina^{118,z}, R. Iguchi¹⁶⁰, T. Iizawa⁵², Y. Ikegami⁷⁹, M. Ikeno⁷⁹, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁹, N. Ilic¹⁵⁰, F. Iltzsche⁴⁶, G. Introzzi^{68a,68b}, M. Iodice^{72a}, K. Iordanidou³⁸, V. Ippolito^{70a,70b}, M.F. Isacson¹⁶⁹, N. Ishijima¹²⁹, M. Ishino¹⁶⁰, M. Ishitsuka¹⁶², W. Islam¹²⁵, C. Issever¹³¹, S. Istin¹⁵⁷, F. Ito¹⁶⁶, J.M. Iturbe Ponce^{61a}, R. Iuppa^{73a,73b}, A. Ivina¹⁷⁷, H. Iwasaki⁷⁹, J.M. Izen⁴², V. Izzo^{67a}, P. Jacka¹³⁷, P. Jackson¹, R.M. Jacobs²⁴, V. Jain², G. Jäkel¹⁷⁹, K.B. Jakobi⁹⁷, K. Jakobs⁵⁰, S. Jakobsen⁷⁴, T. Jakoubek¹³⁷, D.O. Jamin¹²⁵, R. Jansky⁵², J. Janssen²⁴, M. Janus⁵¹, P.A. Janus^{81a}, G. Jarlskog⁹⁴, N. Javadov^{77,ad}, T. Javůrek³⁵, M. Javurkova⁵⁰, F. Jeanneau¹⁴², L. Jeanty¹⁸, J. Jejelava^{156a,ae}, A. Jelinskas¹⁷⁵, P. Jenni^{50,c}, J. Jeong⁴⁴, N. Jeong⁴⁴, S. Jézéquel⁵, H. Ji¹⁷⁸, J. Jia¹⁵², H. Jiang⁷⁶, Y. Jiang^{58a}, Z. Jiang^{150,p}, S. Jiggins⁵⁰, F.A. Jimenez Morales³⁷, J. Jimenez Pena¹⁷¹, S. Jin^{15c}, A. Jinaru^{27b}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁶², H. Jivan^{32c}, P. Johansson¹⁴⁶, K.A. Johns⁷, C.A. Johnson⁶³, W.J. Johnson¹⁴⁵, K. Jon-And^{43a,43b}, R.W.L. Jones⁸⁷, S.D. Jones¹⁵³, S. Jones⁷, T.J. Jones⁸⁸, J. Jongmanns^{59a}, P.M. Jorge^{136a,136b}, J. Jovicevic^{165a}, X. Ju¹⁸, J.J. Junggeburth¹¹³, A. Juste Rozas^{14,x}, A. Kaczmarska⁸², M. Kado¹²⁸, H. Kagan¹²², M. Kagan¹⁵⁰, T. Kaji¹⁷⁶, E. Kajomovitz¹⁵⁷, C.W. Kalderon⁹⁴, A. Kaluza⁹⁷, S. Kama⁴¹, A. Kamenshchikov¹⁴⁰, L. Kanjir⁸⁹, Y. Kano¹⁶⁰, V.A. Kantserov¹¹⁰, J. Kanzaki⁷⁹, B. Kaplan¹²¹, L.S. Kaplan¹⁷⁸, D. Kar^{32c}, M.J. Kareem^{165b}, E. Karentzos¹⁰, S.N. Karpov⁷⁷, Z.M. Karpova⁷⁷, V. Kartvelishvili⁸⁷, A.N. Karyukhin¹⁴⁰, L. Kashif¹⁷⁸, R.D. Kass¹²², A. Kastanas^{43a,43b}, Y. Kataoka¹⁶⁰, C. Kato^{58d,58c}, J. Katzy⁴⁴, K. Kawade⁸⁰, K. Kawagoe⁸⁵, T. Kawamoto¹⁶⁰, G. Kawamura⁵¹, E.F. Kay⁸⁸, V.F. Kazanin^{120b,120a}, R. Keeler¹⁷³, R. Kehoe⁴¹, J.S. Keller³³, E. Kellermann⁹⁴, J.J. Kempster²¹, J. Kendrick²¹, O. Kepka¹³⁷, S. Kersten¹⁷⁹, B.P. Kerševan⁸⁹, S. Ketabchi Haghighat¹⁶⁴, R.A. Keyes¹⁰¹, M. Khader¹⁷⁰, F. Khalil-Zada¹³, A. Khanov¹²⁵, A.G. Kharlamov^{120b,120a}, T. Kharlamova^{120b,120a}, E.E. Khoda¹⁷², A. Khodinov¹⁶³, T.J. Khoo⁵², E. Khramov⁷⁷, J. Khubua^{156b}, S. Kido⁸⁰, M. Kiehn⁵², C.R. Kilby⁹¹, Y.K. Kim³⁶, N. Kimura^{64a,64c}, O.M. Kind¹⁹, B.T. King⁸⁸, D. Kirchmeier⁴⁶, J. Kirk¹⁴¹, A.E. Kiryunin¹¹³, T. Kishimoto¹⁶⁰, D. Kisielewska^{81a}, V. Kitali⁴⁴, O. Kivernyk⁵, E. Kladiva^{28b,*}, T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus⁵⁰, M.H. Klein¹⁰³, M. Klein⁸⁸, U. Klein⁸⁸, K. Kleinknecht⁹⁷, P. Klimek¹¹⁹, A. Klimentov²⁹, T. Klingl²⁴, T. Klioutchnikova³⁵, F.F. Klitzner¹¹², P. Kluit¹¹⁸, S. Kluth¹¹³, E. Kneringer⁷⁴, E.B.F.G. Knoops⁹⁹, A. Knue⁵⁰, A. Kobayashi¹⁶⁰, D. Kobayashi⁸⁵, T. Kobayashi¹⁶⁰, M. Kobel⁴⁶, M. Kocian¹⁵⁰, P. Kodys¹³⁹, P.T. Koenig²⁴, T. Koffas³³, E. Koffeman¹¹⁸, N.M. Köhler¹¹³, T. Koi¹⁵⁰, M. Kolb^{59b}, I. Koletsou⁵, T. Kondo⁷⁹, N. Kondrashova^{58c}, K. Köneke⁵⁰, A.C. König¹¹⁷, T. Kono⁷⁹, R. Konoplich^{121,ai}, V. Konstantinides⁹², N. Konstantinidis⁹², B. Konya⁹⁴, R. Kopeliansky⁶³, S. Koperny^{81a}, K. Korcyl⁸², K. Kordas¹⁵⁹, G. Koren¹⁵⁸, A. Korn⁹², I. Korolkov¹⁴, E.V. Korolkova¹⁴⁶,

N. Korotkova¹¹¹, O. Kortner¹¹³, S. Kortner¹¹³, T. Kosek¹³⁹, V.V. Kostyukhin²⁴, A. Kotwal⁴⁷, A. Koulouris¹⁰, A. Kourkouveli-Charalampidi^{68a,68b}, C. Kourkouvelis⁹, E. Kourlitis¹⁴⁶, V. Kouskoura²⁹, A.B. Kowalewska⁸², R. Kowalewski¹⁷³, T.Z. Kowalski^{81a}, C. Kozakai¹⁶⁰, W. Kozanecki¹⁴², A.S. Kozhin¹⁴⁰, V.A. Kramarenko¹¹¹, G. Kramberger⁸⁹, D. Krasnopevtsev^{58a}, M.W. Krasny¹³², A. Krasznahorkay³⁵, D. Krauss¹¹³, J.A. Kremer^{81a}, J. Kretzschmar⁸⁸, P. Krieger¹⁶⁴, K. Krizka¹⁸, K. Kroeninger⁴⁵, H. Kroha¹¹³, J. Kroll¹³⁷, J. Kroll¹³³, J. Krstic¹⁶, U. Kruchonak⁷⁷, H. Krüger²⁴, N. Krumnack⁷⁶, M.C. Kruse⁴⁷, T. Kubota¹⁰², S. Kудay^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler¹⁷⁹, S. Kuehn³⁵, A. Kugel^{59a}, F. Kuger¹⁷⁴, T. Kuhl⁴⁴, V. Kukhtin⁷⁷, R. Kukla⁹⁹, Y. Kulchitsky¹⁰⁵, S. Kuleshov^{144b}, Y.P. Kulinich¹⁷⁰, M. Kuna⁵⁶, T. Kunigo⁸³, A. Kupco¹³⁷, T. Kupfer⁴⁵, O. Kuprash¹⁵⁸, H. Kurashige⁸⁰, L.L. Kurchaninov^{165a}, Y.A. Kurochkin¹⁰⁵, A. Kurova¹¹⁰, M.G. Kurth^{15d}, E.S. Kuwertz³⁵, M. Kuze¹⁶², J. Kvita¹²⁶, T. Kwan¹⁰¹, A. La Rosa¹¹³, J.L. La Rosa Navarro^{78d}, L. La Rotonda^{40b,40a}, F. La Ruffa^{40b,40a}, C. Lacasta¹⁷¹, F. Lacava^{70a,70b}, J. Lacey⁴⁴, D.P.J. Lack⁹⁸, H. Lacker¹⁹, D. Lacour¹³², E. Ladygin⁷⁷, R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge¹³², T. Lagouri^{32c}, S. Lai⁵¹, S. Lammers⁶³, W. Lampl⁷, E. Lançon²⁹, U. Landgraf⁵⁰, M.P.J. Landon⁹⁰, M.C. Lanfermann⁵², V.S. Lang⁴⁴, J.C. Lange⁵¹, R.J. Langenberg³⁵, A.J. Lankford¹⁶⁸, F. Lanni²⁹, K. Lantzsch²⁴, A. Lanza^{68a}, A. Lapertosa^{53b,53a}, S. Laplace¹³², J.F. Laporte¹⁴², T. Lari^{66a}, F. Lasagni Manghi^{23b,23a}, M. Lassnig³⁵, T.S. Lau^{61a}, A. Laudrain¹²⁸, M. Lavorgna^{67a,67b}, M. Lazzaroni^{66a,66b}, B. Le¹⁰², O. Le Dortz¹³², E. Le Guirriec⁹⁹, E.P. Le Quilleuc¹⁴², M. LeBlanc⁷, T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁶, C.A. Lee²⁹, G.R. Lee^{144a}, L. Lee⁵⁷, S.C. Lee¹⁵⁵, B. Lefebvre¹⁰¹, M. Lefebvre¹⁷³, F. Legger¹¹², C. Leggett¹⁸, K. Lehmann¹⁴⁹, N. Lehmann¹⁷⁹, G. Lehmann Miotto³⁵, W.A. Leight⁴⁴, A. Leisos^{159,u}, M.A.L. Leite^{78d}, R. Leitner¹³⁹, D. Lellouch¹⁷⁷, K.J.C. Leney⁹², T. Lenz²⁴, B. Lenzi³⁵, R. Leone⁷, S. Leone^{69a}, C. Leonidopoulos⁴⁸, G. Lerner¹⁵³, C. Leroy¹⁰⁷, R. Les¹⁶⁴, A.A.J. Lesage¹⁴², C.G. Lester³¹, M. Levchenko¹³⁴, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin¹⁰³, L.J. Levinson¹⁷⁷, D. Lewis⁹⁰, B. Li^{15b}, B. Li¹⁰³, C.-Q. Li^{58a}, H. Li^{58b}, L. Li^{58c}, M. Li^{15a}, Q. Li^{15d}, Q.Y. Li^{58a}, S. Li^{58d,58c}, X. Li^{58c}, Y. Li¹⁴⁸, Z. Liang^{15a}, B. Liberti^{71a}, A. Liblong¹⁶⁴, K. Lie^{61c}, S. Liem¹¹⁸, A. Limosani¹⁵⁴, C.Y. Lin³¹, K. Lin¹⁰⁴, T.H. Lin⁹⁷, R.A. Linck⁶³, J.H. Lindon²¹, B.E. Lindquist¹⁵², A.L. Lioni⁵², E. Lipeles¹³³, A. Lipniacka¹⁷, M. Lisovyi^{59b}, T.M. Liss^{170,an}, A. Lister¹⁷², A.M. Litke¹⁴³, J.D. Little⁸, B. Liu⁷⁶, B.L. Liu⁶, H.B. Liu²⁹, H. Liu¹⁰³, J.B. Liu^{58a}, J.K.K. Liu¹³¹, K. Liu¹³², M. Liu^{58a}, P. Liu¹⁸, Y. Liu^{15a}, Y.L. Liu^{58a}, Y.W. Liu^{58a}, M. Livan^{68a,68b}, A. Lleres⁵⁶, J. Llorente Merino^{15a}, S.L. Lloyd⁹⁰, C.Y. Lo^{61b}, F. Lo Sterzo⁴¹, E.M. Lobodzinska⁴⁴, P. Loch⁷, A. Loesle⁵⁰, T. Lohse¹⁹, K. Lohwasser¹⁴⁶, M. Lokajicek¹³⁷, J.D. Long¹⁷⁰, R.E. Long⁸⁷, L. Longo^{65a,65b}, K.A. Looper¹²², J.A. Lopez^{144b}, I. Lopez Paz⁹⁸, A. Lopez Solis¹⁴⁶, J. Lorenz¹¹², N. Lorenzo Martinez⁵, M. Losada²², P.J. Lösel¹¹², X. Lou⁴⁴, X. Lou^{15a}, A. Lounis¹²⁸, J. Love⁶, P.A. Love⁸⁷, J.J. Lozano Bahilo¹⁷¹, H. Lu^{61a}, M. Lu^{58a}, N. Lu¹⁰³, Y.J. Lu⁶², H.J. Lubatti¹⁴⁵, C. Luci^{70a,70b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁶, C. Luedtke⁵⁰, F. Luehring⁶³, I. Luise¹³², L. Luminari^{70a}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁵¹, M.S. Lutz¹⁰⁰, P.M. Luzi¹³², D. Lynn²⁹, R. Lysak¹³⁷, E. Lytken⁹⁴, F. Lyu^{15a}, V. Lyubushkin⁷⁷, T. Lyubushkina⁷⁷, H. Ma²⁹, L.L. Ma^{58b}, Y. Ma^{58b}, G. Maccarrone⁴⁹, A. Macchiolo¹¹³, C.M. Macdonald¹⁴⁶, J. Machado Miguens^{133,136b}, D. Madaffari¹⁷¹, R. Madar³⁷, W.F. Mader⁴⁶, A. Madsen⁴⁴, N. Madysa⁴⁶, J. Maeda⁸⁰, K. Maekawa¹⁶⁰, S. Maeland¹⁷, T. Maeno²⁹, M. Maerker⁴⁶, A.S. Maevskiy¹¹¹, V. Magerl⁵⁰, D.J. Mahon³⁸, C. Maidantchik^{78b}, T. Maier¹¹², A. Maio^{136a,136b,136d}, O. Majersky^{28a}, S. Majewski¹²⁷, Y. Makida⁷⁹, N. Makovec¹²⁸, B. Malaescu¹³², Pa. Malecki⁸², V.P. Maleev¹³⁴, F. Malek⁵⁶, U. Mallik⁷⁵, D. Malon⁶, C. Malone³¹, S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov³⁵, J. Mamuzic¹⁷¹, G. Mancini⁴⁹, I. Mandić⁸⁹, J. Maneira^{136a}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{78a}, J. Manjarres Ramos⁴⁶, K.H. Mankinen⁹⁴, A. Mann¹¹², A. Manousos⁷⁴, B. Mansoulie¹⁴², J.D. Mansour^{15a}, M. Mantoani⁵¹, S. Manzoni^{66a,66b}, A. Marantis¹⁵⁹, G. Marceca³⁰, L. March⁵², L. Marchese¹³¹, G. Marchiori¹³², M. Marcisovsky¹³⁷, C.A. Marin Tobon³⁵, M. Marjanovic³⁷, D.E. Marley¹⁰³, F. Marroquim^{78b}, Z. Marshall¹⁸,

M.U.F. Martensson¹⁶⁹, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁷¹, C.B. Martin¹²², T.A. Martin¹⁷⁵, V.J. Martin⁴⁸, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁷, M. Martinez^{14,x}, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn¹⁰⁰, S. Martin-Haugh¹⁴¹, V.S. Martoiu^{27b}, A.C. Martyniuk⁹², A. Marzin³⁵, L. Masetti⁹⁷, T. Mashimo¹⁶⁰, R. Mashinistov¹⁰⁸, J. Masik⁹⁸, A.L. Maslennikov^{120b,120a}, L.H. Mason¹⁰², L. Massa^{71a,71b}, P. Massarotti^{67a,67b}, P. Mastrandrea⁵, A. Mastroberardino^{40b,40a}, T. Masubuchi¹⁶⁰, P. Mättig¹⁷⁹, J. Maurer^{27b}, B. Maček⁸⁹, S.J. Maxfield⁸⁸, D.A. Maximov^{120b,120a}, R. Mazini¹⁵⁵, I. Maznas¹⁵⁹, S.M. Mazza¹⁴³, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁶⁴, S.P. Mc Kee¹⁰³, A. McCarn¹⁰³, T.G. McCarthy¹¹³, L.I. McClymont⁹², E.F. McDonald¹⁰², J.A. Mcfayden³⁵, G. Mchedlidze⁵¹, M.A. McKay⁴¹, K.D. McLean¹⁷³, S.J. McMahon¹⁴¹, P.C. McNamara¹⁰², C.J. McNicol¹⁷⁵, R.A. McPherson^{173,ab}, J.E. Mdhluli^{32c}, Z.A. Meadows¹⁰⁰, S. Meehan¹⁴⁵, T.M. Megy⁵⁰, S. Mehlhase¹¹², A. Mehta⁸⁸, T. Meideck⁵⁶, B. Meirose⁴², D. Melini^{171,g}, B.R. Mellado Garcia^{32c}, J.D. Mellenthin⁵¹, M. Melo^{28a}, F. Meloni⁴⁴, A. Melzer²⁴, S.B. Menary⁹⁸, E.D. Mendes Gouveia^{136a}, L. Meng⁸⁸, X.T. Meng¹⁰³, A. Mengarelli^{23b,23a}, S. Menke¹¹³, E. Meoni^{40b,40a}, S. Mergelmeyer¹⁹, S.A.M. Merkt¹³⁵, C. Merlassino²⁰, P. Mermod⁵², L. Merola^{67a,67b}, C. Meroni^{66a}, F.S. Merritt³⁶, A. Messina^{70a,70b}, J. Metcalfe⁶, A.S. Mete¹⁶⁸, C. Meyer¹³³, J. Meyer¹⁵⁷, J-P. Meyer¹⁴², H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen^{59a}, F. Miano¹⁵³, R.P. Middleton¹⁴¹, L. Mijović⁴⁸, G. Mikenberg¹⁷⁷, M. Mikestikova¹³⁷, M. Mikuz⁸⁹, M. Milesi¹⁰², A. Milic¹⁶⁴, D.A. Millar⁹⁰, D.W. Miller³⁶, A. Milov¹⁷⁷, D.A. Milstead^{43a,43b}, A.A. Minaenko¹⁴⁰, M. Miñano Moya¹⁷¹, I.A. Minashvili^{156b}, A.I. Mincer¹²¹, B. Mindur^{81a}, M. Mineev⁷⁷, Y. Minegishi¹⁶⁰, Y. Ming¹⁷⁸, L.M. Mir¹⁴, A. Mirto^{65a,65b}, K.P. Mistry¹³³, T. Mitani¹⁷⁶, J. Mitrevski¹¹², V.A. Mitsou¹⁷¹, M. Mittal^{58c}, A. Miucci²⁰, P.S. Miyagawa¹⁴⁶, A. Mizukami⁷⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark⁹⁴, T. Mkrtchyan¹⁸¹, M. Mlynarikova¹³⁹, T. Moa^{43a,43b}, K. Mochizuki¹⁰⁷, P. Mogg⁵⁰, S. Mohapatra³⁸, S. Molander^{43a,43b}, R. Moles-Valls²⁴, M.C. Mondragon¹⁰⁴, K. Mönig⁴⁴, J. Monk³⁹, E. Monnier⁹⁹, A. Montalbano¹⁴⁹, J. Montejo Berlingen³⁵, F. Monticelli⁸⁶, S. Monzani^{66a}, N. Morange¹²⁸, D. Moreno²², M. Moreno Llácer³⁵, P. Morettini^{53b}, M. Morgenstern¹¹⁸, S. Morgenstern⁴⁶, D. Mori¹⁴⁹, M. Morii⁵⁷, M. Morinaga¹⁷⁶, V. Morisbak¹³⁰, A.K. Morley³⁵, G. Mornacchi³⁵, A.P. Morris⁹², J.D. Morris⁹⁰, L. Morvaj¹⁵², P. Moschovakos¹⁰, M. Mosidze^{156b}, H.J. Moss¹⁴⁶, J. Moss^{150,m}, K. Motohashi¹⁶², R. Mount¹⁵⁰, E. Mountricha³⁵, E.J.W. Moyse¹⁰⁰, S. Muanza⁹⁹, F. Mueller¹¹³, J. Mueller¹³⁵, R.S.P. Mueller¹¹², D. Muenstermann⁸⁷, G.A. Mullier⁹⁴, F.J. Munoz Sanchez⁹⁸, P. Murin^{28b}, W.J. Murray^{175,141}, A. Murrone^{66a,66b}, M. Muškinja⁸⁹, C. Mwewa^{32a}, A.G. Myagkov^{140,aj}, J. Myers¹²⁷, M. Myska¹³⁸, B.P. Nachman¹⁸, O. Nackenhorst⁴⁵, K. Nagai¹³¹, K. Nagano⁷⁹, Y. Nagasaka⁶⁰, M. Nagel⁵⁰, E. Nagy⁹⁹, A.M. Nairz³⁵, Y. Nakahama¹¹⁵, K. Nakamura⁷⁹, T. Nakamura¹⁶⁰, I. Nakano¹²³, H. Nanjo¹²⁹, F. Napolitano^{59a}, R.F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁴, R. Narayan¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar^{59a}, I. Naryshkin¹³⁴, T. Naumann⁴⁴, G. Navarro²², R. Nayyar⁷, H.A. Neal¹⁰³, P.Y. Nechaeva¹⁰⁸, T.J. Neep¹⁴², A. Negri^{68a,68b}, M. Negrini^{23b}, S. Nektarijevic¹¹⁷, C. Nellist⁵¹, M.E. Nelson¹³¹, S. Nemecek¹³⁷, P. Nemethy¹²¹, M. Nessi^{35,e}, M.S. Neubauer¹⁷⁰, M. Neumann¹⁷⁹, P.R. Newman²¹, T.Y. Ng^{61c}, Y.S. Ng¹⁹, H.D.N. Nguyen⁹⁹, T. Nguyen Manh¹⁰⁷, E. Nibigira³⁷, R.B. Nickerson¹³¹, R. Nicolaidou¹⁴², D.S. Nielsen³⁹, J. Nielsen¹⁴³, N. Nikiforou¹¹, V. Nikolaenko^{140,aj}, I. Nikolic-Audit¹³², K. Nikolopoulos²¹, P. Nilsson²⁹, Y. Ninomiya⁷⁹, A. Nisati^{70a}, N. Nishu^{58c}, R. Nisius¹¹³, I. Nitsche⁴⁵, T. Nitta¹⁷⁶, T. Nobe¹⁶⁰, Y. Noguchi⁸³, M. Nomachi¹²⁹, I. Nomidis¹³², M.A. Nomura²⁹, T. Nooney⁹⁰, M. Nordberg³⁵, N. Norjoharuddeen¹³¹, T. Novak⁸⁹, O. Novgorodova⁴⁶, R. Novotny¹³⁸, L. Nozka¹²⁶, K. Ntekas¹⁶⁸, E. Nurse⁹², F. Nuti¹⁰², F.G. Oakham^{33,aq}, H. Oberlack¹¹³, J. Ocariz¹³², A. Ochi⁸⁰, I. Ochoa³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{144a}, K. O'Connor²⁶, S. Oda⁸⁵, S. Odaka⁷⁹, S. Oerdek⁵¹, A. Oh⁹⁸, S.H. Oh⁴⁷, C.C. Ohm¹⁵¹, H. Oide^{53b,53a}, M.L. Ojeda¹⁶⁴, H. Okawa¹⁶⁶, Y. Okazaki⁸³, Y. Okumura¹⁶⁰, T. Okuyama⁷⁹, A. Olariu^{27b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra^{136a}, S.A. Olivares Pino^{144a}, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁹, J.L. Oliver¹, M.J.R. Olsson³⁶, A. Olszewski⁸², J. Olszowska⁸², D.C. O'Neil¹⁴⁹, A. Onofre^{136a,136e}, K. Onogi¹¹⁵,

P.U.E. Onyisi¹¹, H. Oppen¹³⁰, M.J. Oreglia³⁶, G.E. Orellana⁸⁶, Y. Oren¹⁵⁸, D. Orestano^{72a,72b}, E.C. Orgill⁹⁸, N. Orlando^{61b}, A.A. O'Rourke⁴⁴, R.S. Orr¹⁶⁴, B. Osculati^{53b,53a,*}, V. O'Shea⁵⁵, R. Ospanov^{58a}, G. Otero y Garzon³⁰, H. Otono⁸⁵, M. Ouchrif^{34d}, F. Ould-Saada¹³⁰, A. Ouraou¹⁴², Q. Ouyang^{15a}, M. Owen⁵⁵, R.E. Owen²¹, V.E. Ozcan^{12c}, N. Ozturk⁸, J. Pacalt¹²⁶, H.A. Pacey³¹, K. Pachal¹⁴⁹, A. Pacheco Pages¹⁴, L. Pacheco Rodriguez¹⁴², C. Padilla Aranda¹⁴, S. Pagan Griso¹⁸, M. Paganini¹⁸⁰, G. Palacino⁶³, S. Palazzo⁴⁸, S. Palestini³⁵, M. Palka^{81b}, D. Pallin³⁷, I. Panagoulas¹⁰, C.E. Pandini³⁵, J.G. Panduro Vazquez⁹¹, P. Pani³⁵, G. Panizzo^{64a,64c}, L. Paolozzi⁵², T.D. Papadopoulou¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou^{9,i}, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez^{61b}, S.R. Paredes Saenz¹³¹, B. Parida¹⁶³, A.J. Parker⁸⁷, K.A. Parker⁴⁴, M.A. Parker³¹, F. Parodi^{53b,53a}, J.A. Parsons³⁸, U. Parzefall⁵⁰, V.R. Pascuzzi¹⁶⁴, J.M.P. Pasner¹⁴³, E. Pasqualucci^{70a}, S. Passaggio^{53b}, F. Pastore⁹¹, P. Pasuwan^{43a,43b}, S. Pataria⁹⁷, J.R. Pater⁹⁸, A. Pathak^{178,j}, T. Pauly³⁵, B. Pearson¹¹³, M. Pedersen¹³⁰, L. Pedraza Diaz¹¹⁷, R. Pedro^{136a,136b}, S.V. Peleganchuk^{120b,120a}, O. Penc¹³⁷, C. Peng^{15d}, H. Peng^{58a}, B.S. Peralva^{78a}, M.M. Perego¹²⁸, A.P. Pereira Peixoto^{136a}, D.V. Perepelitsa²⁹, F. Peri¹⁹, L. Perini^{66a,66b}, H. Pernegger³⁵, S. Perrella^{67a,67b}, V.D. Peshekhonov^{77,*}, K. Peters⁴⁴, R.F.Y. Peters⁹⁸, B.A. Petersen³⁵, T.C. Petersen³⁹, E. Petit⁵⁶, A. Petridis¹, C. Petridou¹⁵⁹, P. Petroff¹²⁸, M. Petrov¹³¹, F. Petrucci^{72a,72b}, M. Pettee¹⁸⁰, N.E. Pettersson¹⁰⁰, A. Peyaud¹⁴², R. Pezoa^{144b}, T. Pham¹⁰², F.H. Phillips¹⁰⁴, P.W. Phillips¹⁴¹, M.W. Phipps¹⁷⁰, G. Piacquadio¹⁵², E. Pianori¹⁸, A. Picazio¹⁰⁰, M.A. Pickering¹³¹, R.H. Pickles⁹⁸, R. Piegai³⁰, J.E. Pilcher³⁶, A.D. Pilkington⁹⁸, M. Pinamonti^{71a,71b}, J.L. Pinfold³, M. Pitt¹⁷⁷, L. Pizzimento^{71a,71b}, M.-A. Pleier²⁹, V. Pleskot¹³⁹, E. Plotnikova⁷⁷, D. Pluth⁷⁶, P. Podberezko^{120b,120a}, R. Poettgen⁹⁴, R. Poggi⁵², L. Poggioli¹²⁸, I. Pogrebnyak¹⁰⁴, D. Pohl²⁴, I. Pokharel⁵¹, G. Polesello^{68a}, A. Poley¹⁸, A. Policicchio^{70a,70b}, R. Polifka³⁵, A. Polini^{23b}, C.S. Pollard⁴⁴, V. Polychronakos²⁹, D. Ponomarenko¹¹⁰, L. Pontecorvo^{70a}, G.A. Popeneciu^{27d}, D.M. Portillo Quintero¹³², S. Pospisil¹³⁸, K. Potamianos⁴⁴, I.N. Potrap⁷⁷, C.J. Potter³¹, H. Potti¹¹, T. Poulsen⁹⁴, J. Poveda³⁵, T.D. Powell¹⁴⁶, M.E. Pozo Astigarraga³⁵, P. Pralavorio⁹⁹, S. Prell⁷⁶, D. Price⁹⁸, M. Primavera^{65a}, S. Prince¹⁰¹, N. Proklova¹¹⁰, K. Prokofiev^{61c}, F. Prokoshin^{144b}, S. Protopopescu²⁹, J. Proudfoot⁶, M. Przybycien^{81a}, A. Puri¹⁷⁰, P. Puza¹²⁸, J. Qian¹⁰³, Y. Qin⁹⁸, A. Quadt⁵¹, M. Queitsch-Maitland⁴⁴, A. Qureshi¹, P. Rados¹⁰², F. Ragusa^{66a,66b}, G. Rahal⁹⁵, J.A. Raine⁵², S. Rajagopalan²⁹, A. Ramirez Morales⁹⁰, T. Rashid¹²⁸, S. Raspopov⁵, M.G. Ratti^{66a,66b}, D.M. Rauch⁴⁴, F. Rauscher¹¹², S. Rave⁹⁷, B. Ravina¹⁴⁶, I. Ravinovich¹⁷⁷, J.H. Rawling⁹⁸, M. Raymond³⁵, A.L. Read¹³⁰, N.P. Readioff⁵⁶, M. Reale^{65a,65b}, D.M. Rebuzzi^{68a,68b}, A. Redelbach¹⁷⁴, G. Redlinger²⁹, R. Reece¹⁴³, R.G. Reed^{32c}, K. Reeves⁴², L. Rehnisch¹⁹, J. Reichert¹³³, D. Reikher¹⁵⁸, A. Reiss⁹⁷, C. Rembser³⁵, H. Ren^{15d}, M. Rescigno^{70a}, S. Resconi^{66a}, E.D. Resseguie¹³³, S. Rettie¹⁷², E. Reynolds²¹, O.L. Rezanova^{120b,120a}, P. Reznicek¹³⁹, E. Ricci^{73a,73b}, R. Richter¹¹³, S. Richter⁴⁴, E. Richter-Was^{81b}, O. Ricken²⁴, M. Ridel¹³², P. Rieck¹¹³, C.J. Riegel¹⁷⁹, O. Rifki⁴⁴, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁵², A. Rimoldi^{68a,68b}, M. Rimoldi²⁰, L. Rinaldi^{23b}, G. Ripellino¹⁵¹, B. Ristic⁸⁷, E. Ritsch³⁵, I. Riu¹⁴, J.C. Rivera Vergara^{144a}, F. Rizatdinova¹²⁵, E. Rizvi⁹⁰, C. Rizzi¹⁴, R.T. Roberts⁹⁸, S.H. Robertson^{101,ab}, D. Robinson³¹, J.E.M. Robinson⁴⁴, A. Robson⁵⁵, E. Rocco⁹⁷, C. Roda^{69a,69b}, Y. Rodina⁹⁹, S. Rodriguez Bosca¹⁷¹, A. Rodriguez Perez¹⁴, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez¹⁷¹, A.M. Rodriguez Vera^{165b}, S. Roe³⁵, C.S. Rogan⁵⁷, O. Røhne¹³⁰, R. Röhrig¹¹³, C.P.A. Roland⁶³, J. Roloff⁵⁷, A. Romaniouk¹¹⁰, M. Romano^{23b,23a}, N. Rompotis⁸⁸, M. Ronzani¹²¹, L. Roos¹³², S. Rosati^{70a}, K. Rosbach⁵⁰, N.-A. Rosien⁵¹, B.J. Rosser¹³³, E. Rossi⁴⁴, E. Rossi^{72a,72b}, E. Rossi^{67a,67b}, L.P. Rossi^{53b}, L. Rossini^{66a,66b}, J.H.N. Rosten³¹, R. Rosten¹⁴, M. Rotaru^{27b}, J. Rothberg¹⁴⁵, D. Rousseau¹²⁸, D. Roy^{32c}, A. Rozanov⁹⁹, Y. Rozen¹⁵⁷, X. Ruan^{32c}, F. Rubbo¹⁵⁰, F. Rühr⁵⁰, A. Ruiz-Martinez¹⁷¹, Z. Rurikova⁵⁰, N.A. Rusakovich⁷⁷, H.L. Russell¹⁰¹, J.P. Rutherford⁷, E.M. Rüttinger^{44,k}, Y.F. Ryabov¹³⁴, M. Rybar¹⁷⁰,

G. Rybkin¹²⁸, S. Ryu⁶, A. Ryzhov¹⁴⁰, G.F. Rzehorz⁵¹, P. Sabatini⁵¹, G. Sabato¹¹⁸, S. Sacerdoti¹²⁸, H.F.W. Sadrozinski¹⁴³, R. Sadykov⁷⁷, F. Safai Tehrani^{70a}, P. Saha¹¹⁹, M. Sahinsoy^{59a}, A. Sahu¹⁷⁹, M. Saimpert⁴⁴, M. Saito¹⁶⁰, T. Saito¹⁶⁰, H. Sakamoto¹⁶⁰, A. Sakharov^{121,ai}, D. Salamani⁵², G. Salamanna^{72a,72b}, J.E. Salazar Loyola^{144b}, P.H. Sales De Bruin¹⁶⁹, D. Salihagic¹¹³, A. Salmikov¹⁵⁰, J. Salt¹⁷¹, D. Salvatore^{40b,40a}, F. Salvatore¹⁵³, A. Salvucci^{61a,61b,61c}, A. Salzburger³⁵, J. Samarati³⁵, D. Sammel⁵⁰, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁹, D. Sampsonidou¹⁵⁹, J. Sánchez¹⁷¹, A. Sanchez Pineda^{64a,64c}, H. Sandaker¹³⁰, C.O. Sander⁴⁴, M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁹, C. Sandoval²², D.P.C. Sankey¹⁴¹, M. Sannino^{53b,53a}, Y. Sano¹¹⁵, A. Sansoni⁴⁹, C. Santoni³⁷, H. Santos^{136a}, I. Santoyo Castillo¹⁵³, A. Santra¹⁷¹, A. Sapronov⁷⁷, J.G. Saraiva^{136a,136d}, O. Sasaki⁷⁹, K. Sato¹⁶⁶, E. Sauvan⁵, P. Savard^{164,aq}, N. Savic¹¹³, R. Sawada¹⁶⁰, C. Sawyer¹⁴¹, L. Sawyer^{93,ah}, C. Sbarra^{23b}, A. Sbrizzi^{23b,23a}, T. Scanlon⁹², J. Schaarschmidt¹⁴⁵, P. Schacht¹¹³, B.M. Schachtner¹¹², D. Schaefer³⁶, L. Schaefer¹³³, J. Schaeffer⁹⁷, S. Schaepe³⁵, U. Schäfer⁹⁷, A.C. Schaffer¹²⁸, D. Schaile¹¹², R.D. Schamberger¹⁵², N. Scharmberg⁹⁸, V.A. Schegelsky¹³⁴, D. Scheirich¹³⁹, F. Schenck¹⁹, M. Schernau¹⁶⁸, C. Schiavi^{53b,53a}, S. Schier¹⁴³, L.K. Schildgen²⁴, Z.M. Schillaci²⁶, E.J. Schioppa³⁵, M. Schioppa^{40b,40a}, K.E. Schleicher⁵⁰, S. Schlenker³⁵, K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld¹¹³, K. Schmieden³⁵, C. Schmitt⁹⁷, S. Schmitt⁴⁴, S. Schmitz⁹⁷, J.C. Schmoeckel⁴⁴, U. Schnoor⁵⁰, L. Schoeffel¹⁴², A. Schoening^{59b}, E. Schopf¹³¹, M. Schott⁹⁷, J.F.P. Schouwenberg¹¹⁷, J. Schovancova³⁵, S. Schramm⁵², A. Schulte⁹⁷, H-C. Schultz-Coulon^{59a}, M. Schumacher⁵⁰, B.A. Schumm¹⁴³, Ph. Schune¹⁴², A. Schwartzman¹⁵⁰, T.A. Schwarz¹⁰³, Ph. Schwemling¹⁴², R. Schwienhorst¹⁰⁴, A. Sciandra²⁴, G. Sciolla²⁶, M. Scornajenghi^{40b,40a}, F. Scuri^{69a}, F. Scutti¹⁰², L.M. Scyboz¹¹³, C.D. Sebastiani^{70a,70b}, P. Seema¹⁹, S.C. Seidel¹¹⁶, A. Seiden¹⁴³, T. Seiss³⁶, J.M. Seixas^{78b}, G. Sekhniaidze^{67a}, K. Sekhon¹⁰³, S.J. Sekula⁴¹, N. Semprini-Cesari^{23b,23a}, S. Sen⁴⁷, S. Senkin³⁷, C. Serfon¹³⁰, L. Serin¹²⁸, L. Serkin^{64a,64b}, M. Sessa^{58a}, H. Severini¹²⁴, F. Sforza¹⁶⁷, A. Sfyrila⁵², E. Shabalina⁵¹, J.D. Shahinian¹⁴³, N.W. Shaikh^{43a,43b}, L.Y. Shan^{15a}, R. Shang¹⁷⁰, J.T. Shank²⁵, M. Shapiro¹⁸, A.S. Sharma¹, A. Sharma¹³¹, P.B. Shatalov¹⁰⁹, K. Shaw¹⁵³, S.M. Shaw⁹⁸, A. Shcherbakova¹³⁴, Y. Shen¹²⁴, N. Sherafati³³, A.D. Sherman²⁵, P. Sherwood⁹², L. Shi^{155,am}, S. Shimizu⁷⁹, C.O. Shimmin¹⁸⁰, Y. Shimogama¹⁷⁶, M. Shimojima¹¹⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey¹³¹, S. Shirabe⁸⁵, M. Shiyakova⁷⁷, J. Shlomi¹⁷⁷, A. Shmeleva¹⁰⁸, D. Shoaleh Saadi¹⁰⁷, M.J. Shochet³⁶, S. Shojaii¹⁰², D.R. Shope¹²⁴, S. Shrestha¹²², E. Shulga¹¹⁰, P. Sicho¹³⁷, A.M. Sickles¹⁷⁰, P.E. Sidebo¹⁵¹, E. Sideras Haddad^{32c}, O. Sidiropoulou³⁵, A. Sidoti^{23b,23a}, F. Siegert⁴⁶, Dj. Sijacki¹⁶, J. Silva^{136a}, M. Silva Jr.¹⁷⁸, M.V. Silva Oliveira^{78a}, S.B. Silverstein^{43a}, S. Simion¹²⁸, E. Simioni⁹⁷, M. Simon⁹⁷, R. Simoniello⁹⁷, P. Sinervo¹⁶⁴, N.B. Sinev¹²⁷, M. Sioli^{23b,23a}, G. Siragusa¹⁷⁴, I. Siral¹⁰³, S.Yu. Sivoklov¹¹¹, J. Sjölin^{43a,43b}, P. Skubic¹²⁴, M. Slater²¹, T. Slavicek¹³⁸, M. Slawinska⁸², K. Sliwa¹⁶⁷, R. Slovak¹³⁹, V. Smakhtin¹⁷⁷, B.H. Smart⁵, J. Smiesko^{28a}, N. Smirnov¹¹⁰, S.Yu. Smirnov¹¹⁰, Y. Smirnov¹¹⁰, L.N. Smirnova¹¹¹, O. Smirnova⁹⁴, J.W. Smith⁵¹, M. Smizanska⁸⁷, K. Smolek¹³⁸, A. Smykiewicz⁸², A.A. Snesarev¹⁰⁸, I.M. Snyder¹²⁷, S. Snyder²⁹, R. Sobie^{173,ab}, A.M. Soffa¹⁶⁸, A. Soffer¹⁵⁸, A. Søgaaard⁴⁸, D.A. Soh¹⁵⁵, G. Sokhrannyi⁸⁹, C.A. Solans Sanchez³⁵, M. Solar¹³⁸, E.Yu. Soldatov¹¹⁰, U. Soldevila¹⁷¹, A.A. Solodkov¹⁴⁰, A. Soloshenko⁷⁷, O.V. Solovyanov¹⁴⁰, V. Solovyev¹³⁴, P. Sommer¹⁴⁶, H. Son¹⁶⁷, W. Song¹⁴¹, W.Y. Song^{165b}, A. Sopczak¹³⁸, F. Sopkova^{28b}, C.L. Sotiropoulou^{69a,69b}, S. Sottocornola^{68a,68b}, R. Soualah^{64a,64c,h}, A.M. Soukharev^{120b,120a}, D. South⁴⁴, B.C. Sowden⁹¹, S. Spagnolo^{65a,65b}, M. Spalla¹¹³, M. Spangenberg¹⁷⁵, F. Spanò⁹¹, D. Sperlich¹⁹, T.M. Spieker^{59a}, R. Spighi^{23b}, G. Spigo³⁵, L.A. Spiller¹⁰², D.P. Spiteri⁵⁵, M. Spousta¹³⁹, A. Stabile^{66a,66b}, R. Stamen^{59a}, S. Stamm¹⁹, E. Stanecka⁸², R.W. Stanek⁶, C. Stanescu^{72a}, B. Stanislaus¹³¹, M.M. Stanitzki⁴⁴, B. Stapf¹¹⁸, S. Stapnes¹³⁰, E.A. Starchenko¹⁴⁰, G.H. Stark³⁶, J. Stark⁵⁶, S.H. Stark³⁹, P. Staroba¹³⁷, P. Starovoitov^{59a}, S. Stärz³⁵, R. Staszewski⁸², M. Stegler⁴⁴, P. Steinberg²⁹, B. Stelzer¹⁴⁹,

H.J. Stelzer³⁵, O. Stelzer-Chilton^{165a}, H. Stenzel⁵⁴, T.J. Stevenson⁹⁰, G.A. Stewart⁵⁵, M.C. Stockton³⁵, G. Stoicea^{27b}, P. Stolte⁵¹, S. Stonjek¹¹³, A. Straessner⁴⁶, J. Strandberg¹⁵¹, S. Strandberg^{43a,43b}, M. Strauss¹²⁴, P. Strizenec^{28b}, R. Ströhmer¹⁷⁴, D.M. Strom¹²⁷, R. Stroynowski⁴¹, A. Strubig⁴⁸, S.A. Stucci²⁹, B. Stugu¹⁷, J. Stupak¹²⁴, N.A. Styles⁴⁴, D. Su¹⁵⁰, J. Su¹³⁵, S. Suchek^{59a}, Y. Sugaya¹²⁹, M. Suk¹³⁸, V.V. Sulin¹⁰⁸, M.J. Sullivan⁸⁸, D.M.S. Sultan⁵², S. Sultansoy^{4c}, T. Sumida⁸³, S. Sun¹⁰³, X. Sun³, K. Suruliz¹⁵³, C.J.E. Suster¹⁵⁴, M.R. Sutton¹⁵³, S. Suzuki⁷⁹, M. Svatos¹³⁷, M. Swiatlowski³⁶, S.P. Swift², A. Sydorenko⁹⁷, I. Sykora^{28a}, T. Sykora¹³⁹, D. Ta⁹⁷, K. Tackmann^{44,y}, J. Taenzer¹⁵⁸, A. Taffard¹⁶⁸, R. Tafirout^{165a}, E. Tahirovic⁹⁰, N. Taiblum¹⁵⁸, H. Takai²⁹, R. Takashima⁸⁴, E.H. Takasugi¹¹³, K. Takeda⁸⁰, T. Takeshita¹⁴⁷, Y. Takubo⁷⁹, M. Talby⁹⁹, A.A. Talyshev^{120b,120a}, J. Tanaka¹⁶⁰, M. Tanaka¹⁶², R. Tanaka¹²⁸, B.B. Tannenwald¹²², S. Tapia Araya^{144b}, S. Tapprogge⁹⁷, A. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed¹³², S. Tarem¹⁵⁷, G. Tarna^{27b,d}, G.F. Tartarelli^{66a}, P. Tas¹³⁹, M. Tasevsky¹³⁷, T. Tashiro⁸³, E. Tassi^{40b,40a}, A. Tavares Delgado^{136a,136b}, Y. Tayalati^{34e}, A.C. Taylor¹¹⁶, A.J. Taylor⁴⁸, G.N. Taylor¹⁰², P.T.E. Taylor¹⁰², W. Taylor^{165b}, A.S. Tee⁸⁷, P. Teixeira-Dias⁹¹, H. Ten Kate³⁵, J.J. Teoh¹¹⁸, S. Terada⁷⁹, K. Terashi¹⁶⁰, J. Terron⁹⁶, S. Terzo¹⁴, M. Testa⁴⁹, R.J. Teuscher^{164,ab}, S.J. Thais¹⁸⁰, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer⁴⁴, F. Thiele³⁹, D.W. Thomas⁹¹, J.P. Thomas²¹, A.S. Thompson⁵⁵, P.D. Thompson²¹, L.A. Thomsen¹⁸⁰, E. Thomson¹³³, Y. Tian³⁸, R.E. Ticse Torres⁵¹, V.O. Tikhomirov^{108,ak}, Yu.A. Tikhonov^{120b,120a}, S. Timoshenko¹¹⁰, P. Tipton¹⁸⁰, S. Tisserant⁹⁹, K. Todome¹⁶², S. Todorova-Nova⁵, S. Todt⁴⁶, J. Tojo⁸⁵, S. Tokár^{28a}, K. Tokushuku⁷⁹, E. Tolley¹²², K.G. Tomiwa^{32c}, M. Tomoto¹¹⁵, L. Tompkins^{150,p}, K. Toms¹¹⁶, B. Tong⁵⁷, P. Tornambe⁵⁰, E. Torrence¹²⁷, H. Torres⁴⁶, E. Torró Pastor¹⁴⁵, C. Toscirci¹³¹, J. Toth^{99,aa}, F. Touchard⁹⁹, D.R. Tovey¹⁴⁶, C.J. Treado¹²¹, T. Trefzger¹⁷⁴, F. Tresoldi¹⁵³, A. Tricoli²⁹, I.M. Trigger^{165a}, S. Trincas-Duvoid¹³², M.F. Tripiana¹⁴, W. Trischuk¹⁶⁴, B. Trocmé⁵⁶, A. Trofymov¹²⁸, C. Troncon^{66a}, M. Trovatelli¹⁷³, F. Trovato¹⁵³, L. Truong^{32b}, M. Trzebinski⁸², A. Trzupek⁸², F. Tsai⁴⁴, J.C.-L. Tseng¹³¹, P.V. Tsiarashka¹⁰⁵, A. Tsirigotis¹⁵⁹, N. Tsirintanis⁹, V. Tsiskaridze¹⁵², E.G. Tskhadadze^{156a}, I.I. Tsukerman¹⁰⁹, V. Tsulaia¹⁸, S. Tsuno⁷⁹, D. Tsybychev^{152,163}, Y. Tu^{61b}, A. Tudorache^{27b}, V. Tudorache^{27b}, T.T. Tulbure^{27a}, A.N. Tuna⁵⁷, S. Turchikhin⁷⁷, D. Turgeman¹⁷⁷, I. Turk Cakir^{4b,s}, R. Turra^{66a}, P.M. Tuts³⁸, E. Tzovara⁹⁷, G. Ucchielli^{23b,23a}, I. Ueda⁷⁹, M. Ughetto^{43a,43b}, F. Ukegawa¹⁶⁶, G. Unal³⁵, A. Undrus²⁹, G. Unel¹⁶⁸, F.C. Ungaro¹⁰², Y. Unno⁷⁹, K. Uno¹⁶⁰, J. Urban^{28b}, P. Urquijo¹⁰², P. Urrejola⁹⁷, G. Usai⁸, J. Usui⁷⁹, L. Vacavant⁹⁹, V. Vacek¹³⁸, B. Vachon¹⁰¹, K.O.H. Vadla¹³⁰, A. Vaidya⁹², C. Valderanis¹¹², E. Valdes Santurio^{43a,43b}, M. Valente⁵², S. Valentinetti^{23b,23a}, A. Valero¹⁷¹, L. Valéry⁴⁴, R.A. Vallance²¹, A. Vallier⁵, J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁷¹, T.R. Van Daalen¹⁴, H. Van der Graaf¹¹⁸, P. Van Gemmeren⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop¹⁴⁹, I. Van Vulpen¹¹⁸, M. Vanadia^{71a,71b}, W. Vandelli³⁵, A. Vaniachine¹⁶³, P. Vankov¹¹⁸, R. Vari^{70a}, E.W. Varnes⁷, C. Varni^{53b,53a}, T. Varol⁴¹, D. Varouchas¹²⁸, K.E. Varvell¹⁵⁴, G.A. Vasquez^{144b}, J.G. Vasquez¹⁸⁰, F. Vazeille³⁷, D. Vazquez Furelos¹⁴, T. Vazquez Schroeder³⁵, J. Veatch⁵¹, V. Vecchio^{72a,72b}, L.M. Veloce¹⁶⁴, F. Veloso^{136a,136c}, S. Veneziano^{70a}, A. Ventura^{65a,65b}, M. Venturi¹⁷³, N. Venturi³⁵, V. Vercesi^{68a}, M. Verducci^{72a,72b}, C.M. Vergel Infante⁷⁶, C. Vergis²⁴, W. Verkerke¹¹⁸, A.T. Vermeulen¹¹⁸, J.C. Vermeulen¹¹⁸, M.C. Vetterli^{149,aq}, N. Viaux Maira^{144b}, M. Vicente Barreto Pinto⁵², I. Vichou^{170,*}, T. Vickey¹⁴⁶, O.E. Vickey Boeriu¹⁴⁶, G.H.A. Viehhauser¹³¹, S. Viel¹⁸, L. Vigani¹³¹, M. Villa^{23b,23a}, M. Villaplana Perez^{66a,66b}, E. Vilucchi⁴⁹, M.G. Vinciter³³, V.B. Vinogradov⁷⁷, A. Vishwakarma⁴⁴, C. Vittori^{23b,23a}, I. Vivarelli¹⁵³, S. Vlachos¹⁰, M. Vogel¹⁷⁹, P. Vokac¹³⁸, G. Volpi¹⁴, S.E. von Buddenbrock^{32c}, E. Von Toerne²⁴, V. Vorobel¹³⁹, K. Vorobev¹¹⁰, M. Vos¹⁷¹, J.H. Vossebeld⁸⁸, N. Vranjes¹⁶, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁶, V. Vrba¹³⁸, M. Vreeswijk¹¹⁸, T. Šfiligoj⁸⁹, R. Vuillermet³⁵, I. Vukotic³⁶, T. Ženis^{28a}, L. Živković¹⁶, P. Wagner²⁴, W. Wagner¹⁷⁹, J. Wagner-Kuhr¹¹², H. Wahlberg⁸⁶, S. Wahrmund⁴⁶, K. Wakamiya⁸⁰, V.M. Walbrecht¹¹³,

J. Walder⁸⁷, R. Walker¹¹², S.D. Walker⁹¹, W. Walkowiak¹⁴⁸, V. Wallangen^{43a,43b}, A.M. Wang⁵⁷, C. Wang^{58b,d}, F. Wang¹⁷⁸, H. Wang¹⁸, H. Wang³, J. Wang¹⁵⁴, J. Wang^{59b}, P. Wang⁴¹, Q. Wang¹²⁴, R.-J. Wang¹³², R. Wang^{58a}, R. Wang⁶, S.M. Wang¹⁵⁵, W.T. Wang^{58a}, W. Wang^{15c,ac}, W.X. Wang^{58a,ac}, Y. Wang^{58a}, Z. Wang^{58c}, C. Wanotayaroj⁴⁴, A. Warburton¹⁰¹, C.P. Ward³¹, D.R. Wardrope⁹², A. Washbrook⁴⁸, P.M. Watkins²¹, A.T. Watson²¹, M.F. Watson²¹, G. Watts¹⁴⁵, S. Watts⁹⁸, B.M. Waugh⁹², A.F. Webb¹¹, S. Webb⁹⁷, C. Weber¹⁸⁰, M.S. Weber²⁰, S.A. Weber³³, S.M. Weber^{59a}, A.R. Weidberg¹³¹, B. Weinert⁶³, J. Weingarten⁴⁵, M. Weirich⁹⁷, C. Weiser⁵⁰, P.S. Wells³⁵, T. Wenaus²⁹, T. Wengler³⁵, S. Wenig³⁵, N. Wermes²⁴, M.D. Werner⁷⁶, P. Werner³⁵, M. Wessels^{59a}, T.D. Weston²⁰, K. Whalen¹²⁷, N.L. Whallon¹⁴⁵, A.M. Wharton⁸⁷, A.S. White¹⁰³, A. White⁸, M.J. White¹, R. White^{144b}, D. Whiteson¹⁶⁸, B.W. Whitmore⁸⁷, F.J. Wickens¹⁴¹, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷⁸, M. WIELERS¹⁴¹, C. Wigglesworth³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs⁵⁰, F. Wilk⁹⁸, H.G. Wilkens³⁵, L.J. Wilkins⁹¹, H.H. Williams¹³³, S. Williams³¹, C. Willis¹⁰⁴, S. Willocq¹⁰⁰, J.A. Wilson²¹, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, E. Winkels¹⁵³, F. Winklmeier¹²⁷, O.J. Winston¹⁵³, B.T. Winter⁵⁰, M. Wittgen¹⁵⁰, M. Wobisch⁹³, A. Wolf⁹⁷, T.M.H. Wolf¹¹⁸, R. Wolff⁹⁹, M.W. Wolter⁸², H. Wolters^{136a,136c}, V.W.S. Wong¹⁷², N.L. Woods¹⁴³, S.D. Worm²¹, B.K. Wosiek⁸², K.W. Woźniak⁸², K. Wraight⁵⁵, M. Wu³⁶, S.L. Wu¹⁷⁸, X. Wu⁵², Y. Wu^{58a}, T.R. Wyatt⁹⁸, B.M. Wynne⁴⁸, S. Xella³⁹, Z. Xi¹⁰³, L. Xia¹⁷⁵, D. Xu^{15a}, H. Xu^{58a}, L. Xu²⁹, T. Xu¹⁴², W. Xu¹⁰³, B. Yabsley¹⁵⁴, S. Yacoob^{32a}, K. Yajima¹²⁹, D.P. Yallup⁹², D. Yamaguchi¹⁶², Y. Yamaguchi¹⁶², A. Yamamoto⁷⁹, T. Yamanaka¹⁶⁰, F. Yamane⁸⁰, M. Yamatani¹⁶⁰, T. Yamazaki¹⁶⁰, Y. Yamazaki⁸⁰, Z. Yan²⁵, H.J. Yang^{58c,58d}, H.T. Yang¹⁸, S. Yang⁷⁵, Y. Yang¹⁶⁰, Z. Yang¹⁷, W.-M. Yao¹⁸, Y.C. Yap⁴⁴, Y. Yasu⁷⁹, E. Yatsenko^{58c,58d}, J. Ye⁴¹, S. Ye²⁹, I. Yeletsikh⁷⁷, E. Yigitbasi²⁵, E. Yildirim⁹⁷, K. Yorita¹⁷⁶, K. Yoshihara¹³³, C.J.S. Young³⁵, C. Young¹⁵⁰, J. Yu⁸, J. Yu⁷⁶, X. Yue^{59a}, S.P.Y. Yuen²⁴, B. Zabinski⁸², G. Zacharis¹⁰, E. Zaffaroni⁵², R. Zaidan¹⁴, A.M. Zaitsev^{140,aj}, T. Zakareishvili^{156b}, N. Zakharchuk³³, J. Zalieckas¹⁷, S. Zambito⁵⁷, D. Zanzi³⁵, D.R. Zariwovas⁵⁵, S.V. Zeifner⁴⁵, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁹, G. Zemaityte¹³¹, J.C. Zeng¹⁷⁰, Q. Zeng¹⁵⁰, O. Zenin¹⁴⁰, D. Zerwas¹²⁸, M. Zgubic¹³¹, D.F. Zhang^{58b}, D. Zhang¹⁰³, F. Zhang¹⁷⁸, G. Zhang^{58a}, G. Zhang^{15b}, H. Zhang^{15c}, J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang^{15c}, L. Zhang^{58a}, M. Zhang¹⁷⁰, P. Zhang^{15c}, R. Zhang^{58a}, R. Zhang²⁴, X. Zhang^{58b}, Y. Zhang^{15d}, Z. Zhang¹²⁸, P. Zhao⁴⁷, Y. Zhao^{58b,128,ag}, Z. Zhao^{58a}, A. Zhemchugov⁷⁷, Z. Zheng¹⁰³, D. Zhong¹⁷⁰, B. Zhou¹⁰³, C. Zhou¹⁷⁸, L. Zhou⁴¹, M.S. Zhou^{15d}, M. Zhou¹⁵², N. Zhou^{58c}, Y. Zhou⁷, C.G. Zhu^{58b}, H.L. Zhu^{58a}, H. Zhu^{15a}, J. Zhu¹⁰³, Y. Zhu^{58a}, X. Zhuang^{15a}, K. Zhukov¹⁰⁸, V. Zhulanov^{120b,120a}, A. Zibell¹⁷⁴, D. Ziemska⁶³, N.I. Zimine⁷⁷, S. Zimmermann⁵⁰, Z. Zinonos¹¹³, M. Zinser⁹⁷, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴⁸, G. Zobernig¹⁷⁸, A. Zoccoli^{23b,23a}, K. Zoch⁵¹, T.G. Zorbas¹⁴⁶, R. Zou³⁶, M. Zur Nedden¹⁹, L. Zwalinski³⁵.

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide; Australia

² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY; United States of America

³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB; Canada

⁴ Department of Physics^(a), Ankara University, Ankara; Istanbul Aydin University^(b), Istanbul;

Division of Physics^(c), TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara; Turkey

⁵ LAPP, Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, Annecy; France

⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL; United States of America

⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ; United States of America

⁸ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX; United States of America

⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens; Greece

¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou; Greece

¹¹ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX; United States of America

¹² Bahcesehir University^(a), Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; Istanbul Bilgi University^(b), Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; Department of Physics^(c),

- Bogazici University, Istanbul; Department of Physics Engineering^(d), Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; Turkey
- ¹³ Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan
- ¹⁴ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona; Spain
- ¹⁵ Institute of High Energy Physics^(a), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; Physics Department^(b), Tsinghua University, Beijing; Department of Physics^(c), Nanjing University, Nanjing; University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS)^(d), Beijing; China
- ¹⁶ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade; Serbia
- ¹⁷ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen; Norway
- ¹⁸ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA; United States of America
- ¹⁹ Institut für Physik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Berlin; Germany
- ²⁰ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern; Switzerland
- ²¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham; United Kingdom
- ²² Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Nariño, Bogota; Colombia
- ²³ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia^(a), Università di Bologna, Bologna; INFN Sezione di Bologna^(b); Italy
- ²⁴ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Bonn; Germany
- ²⁵ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA; United States of America
- ²⁶ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA; United States of America
- ²⁷ Transilvania University of Brasov^(a), Brasov; Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering^(b), Bucharest; Department of Physics^(c), Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies^(d), Physics Department, Cluj-Napoca; University Politehnica Bucharest^(e), Bucharest; West University in Timisoara^(f), Timisoara; Romania
- ²⁸ Faculty of Mathematics^(a), Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; Department of Subnuclear Physics^(b), Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice; Slovak Republic
- ²⁹ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY; United States of America
- ³⁰ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires; Argentina
- ³¹ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge; United Kingdom
- ³² Department of Physics^(a), University of Cape Town, Cape Town; Department of Mechanical Engineering Science^(b), University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; School of Physics^(c), University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg; South Africa
- ³³ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON; Canada
- ³⁴ Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock^(a), Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires (CNESTEN)^(b), Rabat; Faculté des Sciences Semlalia^(c), Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; Faculté des Sciences^(d), Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; Faculté des sciences^(e), Université Mohammed V, Rabat; Morocco
- ³⁵ CERN, Geneva; Switzerland
- ³⁶ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL; United States of America
- ³⁷ LPC, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand; France
- ³⁸ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY; United States of America
- ³⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen; Denmark
- ⁴⁰ Dipartimento di Fisica^(a), Università della Calabria, Rende; INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza^(b), Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; Italy
- ⁴¹ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX; United States of America
- ⁴² Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX; United States of America
- ⁴³ Department of Physics^(a), Stockholm University; Oskar Klein Centre^(b), Stockholm; Sweden

- 44 Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen; Germany
 45 Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund; Germany
 46 Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden; Germany
 47 Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC; United States of America
 48 SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh; United Kingdom
 49 INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati; Italy
 50 Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany
 51 II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany
 52 Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland
 53 Dipartimento di Fisica^(a), Università di Genova, Genova; INFN Sezione di Genova^(b); Italy
 54 II. Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen; Germany
 55 SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow; United Kingdom
 56 LPSC, Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble INP, Grenoble; France
 57 Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA; United States of America
 58 Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics^(a), University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei; Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE)^(b), Shandong University, Qingdao; School of Physics and Astronomy^(c), Shanghai Jiao Tong University, KLPPAC-MoE, SKLPPC, Shanghai; Tsung-Dao Lee Institute^(d), Shanghai; China
 59 Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik^(a), Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; Physikalisches Institut^(b), Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; Germany
 60 Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima; Japan
 61 Department of Physics^(a), Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; Department of Physics^(b), University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study^(c), Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong; China
 62 Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu; Taiwan
 63 Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN; United States of America
 64 INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine^(a), Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ICTP^(b), Trieste; Dipartimento di Chimica^(c), Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine; Italy
 65 INFN Sezione di Lecce^(a); Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica^(b), Università del Salento, Lecce; Italy
 66 INFN Sezione di Milano^(a); Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), Università di Milano, Milano; Italy
 67 INFN Sezione di Napoli^(a); Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), Università di Napoli, Napoli; Italy
 68 INFN Sezione di Pavia^(a); Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), Università di Pavia, Pavia; Italy
 69 INFN Sezione di Pisa^(a); Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi^(b), Università di Pisa, Pisa; Italy
 70 INFN Sezione di Roma^(a); Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma; Italy
 71 INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata^(a); Dipartimento di Fisica^(b), Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma; Italy
 72 INFN Sezione di Roma Tre^(a); Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica^(b), Università Roma Tre, Roma; Italy
 73 INFN-TIFPA^(a); Università degli Studi di Trento^(b), Trento; Italy
 74 Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck; Austria
 75 University of Iowa, Iowa City IA; United States of America
 76 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA; United States of America
 77 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna; Russia
 78 Departamento de Engenharia Elétrica^(a), Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF^(b), Rio de Janeiro; Universidade Federal de São João del Rei (UFSJ)^(c), São João del Rei; Instituto de Física^(d), Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo; Brazil
 79 KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba; Japan

- ⁸⁰ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe; Japan
- ⁸¹ AGH University of Science and Technology^(a), Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; ^(b)Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow; Poland
- ⁸² Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow; Poland
- ⁸³ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto; Japan
- ⁸⁴ Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto; Japan
- ⁸⁵ Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka; Japan
- ⁸⁶ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata; Argentina
- ⁸⁷ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster; United Kingdom
- ⁸⁸ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool; United Kingdom
- ⁸⁹ Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana; Slovenia
- ⁹⁰ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London; United Kingdom
- ⁹¹ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham; United Kingdom
- ⁹² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London; United Kingdom
- ⁹³ Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America
- ⁹⁴ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund; Sweden
- ⁹⁵ Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne; France
- ⁹⁶ Departamento de Física Teórica C-15 and CIAFF, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid; Spain
- ⁹⁷ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz; Germany
- ⁹⁸ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester; United Kingdom
- ⁹⁹ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France
- ¹⁰⁰ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA; United States of America
- ¹⁰¹ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC; Canada
- ¹⁰² School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria; Australia
- ¹⁰³ Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America
- ¹⁰⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI; United States of America
- ¹⁰⁵ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk; Belarus
- ¹⁰⁶ Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk; Belarus
- ¹⁰⁷ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC; Canada
- ¹⁰⁸ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow; Russia
- ¹⁰⁹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow; Russia
- ¹¹⁰ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow; Russia
- ¹¹¹ D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow; Russia
- ¹¹² Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München; Germany
- ¹¹³ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München; Germany
- ¹¹⁴ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki; Japan
- ¹¹⁵ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya; Japan
- ¹¹⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM; United States of America
- ¹¹⁷ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands
- ¹¹⁸ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam; Netherlands
- ¹¹⁹ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL; United States of America
- ¹²⁰ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics^(a), SB RAS, Novosibirsk; Novosibirsk State University Novosibirsk^(b); Russia

- ¹²¹ Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY; United States of America
- ¹²² Ohio State University, Columbus OH; United States of America
- ¹²³ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama; Japan
- ¹²⁴ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK; United States of America
- ¹²⁵ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK; United States of America
- ¹²⁶ Palacký University, RCPTM, Joint Laboratory of Optics, Olomouc; Czech Republic
- ¹²⁷ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR; United States of America
- ¹²⁸ LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay; France
- ¹²⁹ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan
- ¹³⁰ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo; Norway
- ¹³¹ Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford; United Kingdom
- ¹³² LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris; France
- ¹³³ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA; United States of America
- ¹³⁴ Konstantinov Nuclear Physics Institute of National Research Centre “Kurchatov Institute”, PNPI, St. Petersburg; Russia
- ¹³⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA; United States of America
- ¹³⁶ Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas — LIP^(a); Departamento de Física^(b), Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; Departamento de Física^(c), Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra; Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa^(d), Lisboa; Departamento de Física^(e), Universidade do Minho, Braga; Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos^(f), Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia^(g), Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica; Portugal
- ¹³⁷ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague; Czech Republic
- ¹³⁸ Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague; Czech Republic
- ¹³⁹ Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague; Czech Republic
- ¹⁴⁰ State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics, NRC KI, Protvino; Russia
- ¹⁴¹ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot; United Kingdom
- ¹⁴² IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette; France
- ¹⁴³ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA; United States of America
- ¹⁴⁴ Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile^(a), Santiago; Departamento de Física^(b), Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso; Chile
- ¹⁴⁵ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA; United States of America
- ¹⁴⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom
- ¹⁴⁷ Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano; Japan
- ¹⁴⁸ Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen; Germany
- ¹⁴⁹ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC; Canada
- ¹⁵⁰ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA; United States of America
- ¹⁵¹ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm; Sweden
- ¹⁵² Departments of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY; United States of America
- ¹⁵³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton; United Kingdom
- ¹⁵⁴ School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney; Australia
- ¹⁵⁵ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan
- ¹⁵⁶ E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics^(a), Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; High Energy Physics Institute^(b), Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; Georgia
- ¹⁵⁷ Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa; Israel
- ¹⁵⁸ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv; Israel
- ¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki; Greece

- ¹⁶⁰ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo; Japan
- ¹⁶¹ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo; Japan
- ¹⁶² Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo; Japan
- ¹⁶³ Tomsk State University, Tomsk; Russia
- ¹⁶⁴ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON; Canada
- ¹⁶⁵ TRIUMF^(a), Vancouver BC; Department of Physics and Astronomy^(b), York University, Toronto ON; Canada
- ¹⁶⁶ Division of Physics and Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba; Japan
- ¹⁶⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA; United States of America
- ¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA; United States of America
- ¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala; Sweden
- ¹⁷⁰ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL; United States of America
- ¹⁷¹ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia; Spain
- ¹⁷² Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC; Canada
- ¹⁷³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC; Canada
- ¹⁷⁴ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Germany
- ¹⁷⁵ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry; United Kingdom
- ¹⁷⁶ Waseda University, Tokyo; Japan
- ¹⁷⁷ Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot; Israel
- ¹⁷⁸ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI; United States of America
- ¹⁷⁹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal; Germany
- ¹⁸⁰ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT; United States of America
- ¹⁸¹ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan; Armenia
- ^a Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, NY; United States of America
- ^b Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town; South Africa
- ^c Also at CERN, Geneva; Switzerland
- ^d Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille; France
- ^e Also at Département de Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Genève; Switzerland
- ^f Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona; Spain
- ^g Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada (Spain); Spain
- ^h Also at Department of Applied Physics and Astronomy, University of Sharjah, Sharjah; United Arab Emirates
- ⁱ Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios; Greece
- ^j Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY; United States of America
- ^k Also at Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield; United Kingdom
- ^l Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA; United States of America
- ^m Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA; United States of America
- ⁿ Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London; United Kingdom

- ^{*o*} Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg; Russia
- ^{*p*} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University; United States of America
- ^{*q*} Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg; Switzerland
- ^{*r*} Also at Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI; United States of America
- ^{*s*} Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Giresun; Turkey
- ^{*t*} Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka; Japan
- ^{*u*} Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras; Greece
- ^{*v*} Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; Romania
- ^{*w*} Also at II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen; Germany
- ^{*x*} Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona; Spain
- ^{*y*} Also at Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg; Germany
- ^{*z*} Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen; Netherlands
- ^{*aa*} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest; Hungary
- ^{*ab*} Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP); Canada
- ^{*ac*} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei; Taiwan
- ^{*ad*} Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku; Azerbaijan
- ^{*ae*} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi; Georgia
- ^{*af*} Also at Istanbul University, Dept. of Physics, Istanbul; Turkey
- ^{*ag*} Also at LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay; France
- ^{*ah*} Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA; United States of America
- ^{*ai*} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY; United States of America
- ^{*aj*} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny; Russia
- ^{*ak*} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow; Russia
- ^{*al*} Also at Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg; Germany
- ^{*am*} Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou; China
- ^{*an*} Also at The City College of New York, New York NY; United States of America
- ^{*ao*} Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing; China
- ^{*ap*} Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny; Russia
- ^{*aq*} Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; Canada
- ^{*ar*} Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli; Italy
- * Deceased